

IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE, MUNGER
ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION No.- 472/2026

Sudha Kumari & Others Vs. The State of Bihar
Mahila P.S. Case No. 03/2026

18.05.2026

1. The present anticipatory bail application has been preferred by petitioners **1. Sudha Kumari**, W/o- Barun Singh and **2. Binita Kumari**, w/o- Niwas Kumar Singh, and **3. Nagendra Prasad Singh**, S/o- Late Kara Prasad Singh, who are apprehending arrest in connection with Mahila P.S. Case No. 03/2026, u/s 126(2), 115(2), 85, 351(2), 3(5) of B.N.S and section 3/4 of D.P. Act., pending in the Court of Ld. S.D.J.M., Munger.

2. The informant has alleged that her marriage with the petitioner Niraj Kumar was solemnized on 14.07.2024 and from the said wedlock one child was borne out. She further alleged that she was subjected to torture and cruelty by all the accused persons including the petitioners towards dowry demand of Rs. 2 lakhs and one motorcycle. Hence the information, based on which the above stated P.S. case was registered.

3. At the outset the Ld. Counsel for the petitioners have certified that previously no anticipatory or regular bail has been filed on behalf of the petitioners before this Court or before any other Court including the Hon'ble High Court. He also certified that **the petitioners have no criminal case pending against them.** The Ld. Counsel submits that the petitioners are innocent and have not committed any offence and further that they have been falsely implicated in the present case. That no case u/s 85 of B.N.S and 3/4 of D.P Act is made out against the petitioner. That there is no specific allegations against the petitioners. That the petitioner No. 1 and 2 are the women and petitioner No. 3 is a senior citizen aged about 72 Years. That the police has already granted the benefit of section 35(3) of B.N.S.S to the petitioners. Therefore, the Ld Counsel pleads that the petitioners be enlarged on pre-arrest bail.

IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE, MUNGER
ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION No.- 472/2026

Sudha Kumari & Others Vs. The State of Bihar
Mahila P.S. Case No. 03/2026

4. The Ld. P.P. has opposed the prayer of anticipatory bail of the petitioners.

5. Heard the submissions of respective Id. Counsels and perused the record of the case.

6. From perusal of the record it appears that the petitioner No. 1 and 2 are the women and petitioner No. 3 is a senior citizen aged about 72 Years. That the police has already granted the benefit of section 35(3) of B.N.S.S to the petitioners. That the petitioners have no criminal antecedents as per para 3 of the present anticipatory bail application.

7. Hence, considering the nature of allegations and in the totality of the facts and circumstances of the case, this anticipatory bail application is hereby **Allowed**. Therefore, in the event of arrest or surrender of the petitioners within 15 days from the date of communication of this order, the petitioners are directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Trial Court subject to the conditions as laid down U/S 482(2) of B.N.S.S.

Dictated

(ALOK GUPTA)
Sessions Judge, Munger
Dated:- 18.05.2026