

IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE, MUNGER
ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION No.- 395/2026

Raja Yadav and other Vs. The State of Bihar
Dharhara P.S. Case No. 29/2026

17.04.2026

1. A petition dated 17.04.2026 has been filed on behalf of petitioner No. **2** namely **Madhukar** Yadav seeking permission to withdraw his anticipatory bail application, in connection with Dharhara P.S. Case No. 29/2026.
2. The Ld. Counsel submits that the petitioner wants to withdraw her anticipatory bail application, hence he may be permitted to withdraw the same.
3. The Ld. P.P. raised no objection.
4. Heard. Perused the petition dated 17.04.2026
5. Prayer is **Allowed**. Accordingly, this anticipatory bail application with respect to Petitioner No. No. 2 namely **Madhukar** Yadav is **Dismissed as Withdrawn** as prayed for.
6. Put up for hearing for the rest petitioner.

Dictated

(ALOK GUPTA)
Sessions Judge, Munger
17.04.2026

IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE, MUNGER
ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION No.- 395/2026

Raja Yadav and other Vs. The State of Bihar
Dharhara P.S. Case No. 29/2026

Later on...
17.04.2026

1. The present anticipatory bail application has been preferred by petitioner **Raja Yadav** s/o- Bablu Yadav who is apprehending arrest in connection with Dharhara P.S. Case No. 29/2026 u/ss 126(2), 115(2), 308(3), 303(2), 109, 352, 351(2) and 3(5) of B.N.S., pending in the Court of Id. A.C.J.M.-III, Munger.
2. The informant has alleged that the all the accused persons including the petitioner physically assaulted him with iron rod and pistol butt and demand an extortion of Rs. 5,00,000/-. He further alleged that they snatched away a gold chain and cash amount of Rs. 5,000/- from him. Hence the information, based on which the above stated P.S. case was registered.
3. At the outset the Id. Counsel for the petitioner has certified that previously no anticipatory or regular bail has been filed on behalf of the petitioner before this Court or before any other Court including the Hon'ble High Court. He also certified that **the petitioner has no criminal cases pending against him**. The Id. Counsel submits that the petitioner is innocent and has not committed any offence and further that he has been falsely implicated in the present case. That no case u/ss 303(2) and 109 of B.N.S. is made out against the petitioner. That there are no specific allegations against the petitioner. Therefore the Id Counsel pleads that the petitioner be granted the privilege of pre-arrest bail.
4. The Id. P.P. has opposed the prayer of anticipatory bail of the petitioner.
5. Heard the submissions of respective Id. Counsels and perused the record of the case.

IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE, MUNGER
ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION No.- 395/2026

Raja Yadav and other Vs. The State of Bihar
Darhara P.S. Case No. 29/2026

6. From perusal of the injury report, it is apparent that the injuries are simple in nature caused by hard and blunt substance. That the petitioner has no criminal cases pending against him as per para 34 of the case diary.

7. Hence, considering the nature of allegations and in the totality of the facts and circumstances of the case, this anticipatory bail application is hereby **Allowed**. Therefore, in the event of arrest or surrender of the petitioner within 15 days from the date of communication of this order, the petitioner is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Trial Court subject to the conditions as laid down U/S 482(2) B.N.S.S.

Dictated

(ALOK GUPTA)
Sessions Judge, Munger
Dated:- 17.04.2026