

CNR No.JHKH010008522026.
IN THE COURT OF SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS), KHUNTI.

Misc. Cri. Application No.334/2026.

NDPS Case No.14/2026.

Ankit Hazam @ Saju ThakurPetitioner.
Versus

State of Jharkhand. O.P.

23-07-2026: Heard the learned counsel Sri B.C. Banarjee for the

accused-petitioner namely **Ankit Hazam @ Saju Thakur** S/o
Dino Hazam and the learned PP appearing on behalf of the
State, in connection with NDPS Case No.14/2026 arising out of
Arki PS Case No.07/2026 registered u/s 8(c)/15(c)/22(c)/25 of
the NDPS Act.

The Learned Counsel for the accused-petitioner has
submitted that no bail petition whatsoever has been filed on
his behalf either before this court or before the Hon'ble Court.
The petitioner is innocent and has been falsely implicated in
this case out of ulterior motive of the Arki police. The
petitioner was not present at the time of alleged search &
seizure. The alleged recovery of poppy husk is not from the
dwelling house of the petitioner & the co-accused rather it is
recovered from a house out side of their dwelling house which
is clear from self statement of the informant/police officer and
it finds force from inspection of place of occurrence that house
of the petitioner has not been seen in any of the boundary of
the alleged place of occurrence. The search and seizure has
not been observed in presence of the Dy.S.P which is gross
violation of law. There is violation of section 50 of the NDPS

Misc. Cri. Application No.334/2026.
NDPS Case No.14/2026.

(contd.p/2)

23-07-2026:

Act & section 103 of the BNSS also. The witnesses examined u/s 180 of the BNS are the police officials, although the search and seizure is said to be taken place in the broad day light. The petitioner is facing rigor of law since 26-03-2026, therefore, he may be released on bail.

On the other hand the Learned PP opposed the

prayer of bail and submitted that the alleged place of occurrence is the outer-part of dwelling house of the petitioner & the co-accused. Arki police got secret information about storage of poppy husk in the house of the petitioner and his brother Lalu Hazam, therefore, police entered the information as Sanha and reached at the house of the petitioner along with police party. The co-accused Lalu Hazam was admittedly not there but his brother Ankit Hazam @ Saju Thakur (petitioner) was there in the house and in his presence search was conducted of his house from there a total 330.21 Kg poppy husk in 21 bag were recovered followed by seizure list (para-3 of the case diary). The petitioner-accused Ankit Hazam @ Saju Thakur confessed that he along with his brother co-accused Lalu Hazam are indulged in business of poppy husk who purchased the seized poppy husk from Tamar side (para-5 of the case diary). The petitioner himself has admitted his complicity in business of poppy husk (para-5 of the case

Misc. Cri. Application No.334/2026.
NDPS Case No.14/2026.

(contd.p/3)

23-07-2026:

diary). The learned PP further referred para-16, 17, 18, 19 & 20 of the case diary and submitted that the witnesses examined u/s 180 of the BNSS have supported the case of prosecution. Charge-sheet has already been submitted in this case against the petitioner & his brother co-accused Lalu Hazam u/s 8(c)/15(c)/22(c)/25 of the NDPS Act but FSL report is still awaited. Therefore, bail petition filed on behalf of the accused-petitioner may kindly be rejected.

Regard being had to the discussions as herein above and taking into consideration seriousness of the offence, **this court is not inclined to release the accused-petitioner on bail.**

In the back drop bail petition filed on behalf of the accused-petitioner **Ankit Hazam @ Saju Thakur** is hereby **rejected.**

Let, an extract copy of this order be placed at the record of **NDPS Case No.14/2026.**

Let, an authenticated copy of this order be supplied to the accused-petitioner.

(Dictated)

Sd/-

(R.K. Mishra)

**(I/c)Special Judge(NDPS),
Khunti.**