

IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE, MUNGER
ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION No.- 355/2026

Brajesh Kumar Vs. The State of Bihar
Dharhara P.S. Case No. 135/2019(G.R. Case No. 1520/2019)

25.04.2026

1. The present anticipatory bail application has been preferred by petitioner **Brajesh Kumar**, s/o- Arbind Yadav who is apprehending arrest in connection with Dharhara P.S. Case No. 135/2019(G.R. Case No. 1520/2019) u/ss 341, 323, 504, 506, 498(A)/34 of I.P.C. and section 3/4 of D.P. Act, pending in the Court of Ld. S.D.J.M., Munger.

2. The informant has alleged that her marriage with the co-accused Raja Yadav was solemnized on 22.06.2014 and from the said wedlock three children were borne out. She further alleged that she was subjected to torture and cruelty by all the accused persons including the petitioner towards dowry demand of Rs. 50,000/- and a motorcycle. Hence the information, based on which the above stated P.S. case was registered.

3. At the outset the Ld. Counsel for the petitioner has certified that previously no anticipatory or regular bail has been filed on behalf of the petitioner before this Court or before any other Court including the Hon'ble High Court. He also certified that **the petitioner has no criminal cases pending against him**. The Ld. Counsel submits that the petitioner is innocent and has not committed any offence and further that he has been falsely implicated in the present case. That the petitioner is the in-law of the informant. That some of the co-accused persons have already been granted anticipatory bail by this Court vide order dated 25.02.2026 in A.B.A. No. 50/2026. Therefore the Ld Counsel pleads that the petitioner be granted the privilege of pre-arrest bail.

4. The Ld. P.P. has opposed the prayer of anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE, MUNGER
ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION No.- 355/2026

Brajesh Kumar Vs. The State of Bihar
Dharhara P.S. Case No. 135/2019(G.R. Case No. 1520/2019)

5. Heard the submissions of respective Id. Counsels and perused the record of the case.

6. From perusal of the record it is apparent that the cognizance in the case has already been taken by the Id. Trial Court. That the police has already granted the benefit of section 41(1) of Cr.P.C. to the petitioner and other co-accused in the case. That some of the co-accused persons have already been granted anticipatory bail by this Court vide order dated 25.02.2026 in A.B.A. No. 50/2026. That the petitioner has no criminal cases pending against him as per para 3 of the present anticipatory bail application.

7. Hence, considering the nature of allegations and in the totality of the facts and circumstances of the case, this anticipatory bail application is hereby **Allowed**. Therefore, in the event of arrest or surrender of the petitioner within 15 days from the date of communication of this order, the petitioner is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Trial Court subject to the conditions as laid down U/S 438(2) Cr.P.C.

Dictated

(ALOK GUPTA)
Sessions Judge, Munger
Dated:- 25.04.2026