

**IN THE COURT OF ADDITIONAL DISTRICT &
SESSIONS JUDGE-II, MUNGER**

ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION No.- 352/2026

Bilash Yadav @ Rambilash Yadav & others Vs. The State of Bihar
Safiyasarai P.S. Case No.- 15/2026

11.06.2026

1. The present anticipatory bail application has been preferred by petitioners **1. Bilash Yadav @ Rambilash Yadav**, s/o- Late Saraju Yadav, **2. Chandan Yadav** s/o- Rambilash Yadav, who are apprehending their arrest in connection with Safiyasarai P.S. Case No.- 15/2026 u/s- 126(2), 115, 118(1), 109, 191(2), 191(3), 190, 303(2) of BNS and 27 Arms Act pending in the Court of Ld. C.J.M., Munger.

2. The informant has alleged that on dated-02.02.2026 at about 04:00 PM when informant was at her shop. Then all accused persons came to the shop of informant in search of his son Mukesh with intention to kill. When accused person found that his son is not there then on behest of other co-accused, Munnu Yadav fired on petitioner. Petitioner tried to save herself but bullet hit at her right leg thigh due to which bleeding starts from her thigh and she fell down. Accused persons also looted Rs.1500 from shop of informant. After that informant's son taken away her mother to the Sadar Hospital, Munger for treatment.

Hence, the information, based on which the above stated case Safiyasarai P.S. Case No.-15/2026 u/s- 126(2), 115, 118(1), 109, 191(2), 191(3), 190, 303(2) of BNS and 27 Arms Act was registered.

3. At the outset the Id. Counsel for the petitioners has certified that previously no anticipatory or regular bail has been filed on

**IN THE COURT OF ADDITIONAL DISTRICT &
SESSIONS JUDGE-II, MUNGER**

ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION No.- 352/2026

Bilash Yadav @ Rambilash Yadav & others Vs. The State of Bihar
Safiyasarai P.S. Case No.- 15/2026

behalf of the petitioners before this Court or before any other Court including the Hon'ble High Court. He also certified that **the petitioners have no criminal history**. The Ld. Counsel submits that the petitioners are innocent and have not committed any offence and further that they have been falsely implicated in the present case. Further, it is submitted that petitioners were not concerned with alleged occurrence. Neither they are arrested on the spot nor any incriminating article has been recovered from their possession. There is no any specific allegation on these petitioners accused. These petitioners are ready to obey the terms and conditions. Therefore, the Ld Counsel pleads that the petitioners be granted the privilege of pre-arrest bail.

4. The Ld. P.P. has opposed the prayer of anticipatory bail of the petitioners.

5. Heard the submissions of respective Id. Counsels and perused the record of the case.

6. From perusal of the case record it seems that there is no any specific allegation is found in case diary and written complain. Allegation of firing is on other co-accused which he confess in para-16 of the case diary. As per para-3 of bail petition there is no any criminal antecedent against both the petitioners.

7. Accordingly, considering the nature of allegations and in

**IN THE COURT OF ADDITIONAL DISTRICT &
SESSIONS JUDGE-II, MUNGER**

ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION No.- 352/2026

Bilash Yadav @ Rambilash Yadav & others Vs. The State of Bihar
Safiyasarai P.S. Case No.- 15/2026

the totality of the facts and circumstances of the case, this anticipatory bail application is hereby **Allowed**. Therefore, petitioners are directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Trial Court subject to the conditions as laid down U/S 438(2) of Cr.P.C. / 482(2) of B.N.S.S.

Dictated

(Rakesh Kumar Singh)
DASJ-II Judge, Munger
Dated:- 11.06.2026