

IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE, MUNGER
ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION No.-473/2026

Krishan Kumar and others Vs. The State of Bihar
Complaint Case No. 576c/2024

23.05.2026

1. The present anticipatory bail application has been preferred by petitioners **1. Krishan Kumar** s/o- Lalit Tanti, **2. Lalit Tanti**, S/o- Late Bhuso Tatwa and **3. Madhuri Devi** W/o- Lalit Tanti who are apprehending arrest in connection with Complaint Case No. 576c/2024 u/ss 498A/34 of I.P.C. and section 3/4 of D.P. Act, pending in the Court of Ld. S.D.J.M., Munger.

2. The complainant has alleged that her marriage with the petitioner No. 1 was solemnized on 08.07.2022 thereafter she went to her matrimonial house where she was subjected to torture and cruelty by all the accused persons including the petitioners towards dowry demand of Rs. 1,00,000/- and a motorcycle. Hence she preferred the present Complaint Case.

3. At the outset the Ld. Counsel for the petitioners has certified that previously no anticipatory or regular bail has been filed on behalf of the petitioners before this Court or before any other Court including the Hon'ble High Court. He also certified that **the petitioners have no criminal antecedents**. The Ld. Counsel submits that the petitioners are innocent and have not committed any offence and further that they have been falsely implicated in the present case. That the petitioners are the husband and in-laws of the complainant. That the cognizance in the case has already been taken by the Ld. Trial Court. He further submits that when both the parties of the case appeared before this Court, this Court was pleased to refer the matter to the Mediation Center, Munger wherein both the parties amicably settled their matrimonial disputes. That both the parties agreed to take divorce with mutual consent, as per the endorsement on the Settlement Agreement dated **08.05.2026** of the Mediation Center. Therefore the Ld Counsel pleads that the petitioners be granted the privilege of pre-arrest bail.

IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE, MUNGER
ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION No.-473/2026

Krishan Kumar and others Vs. The State of Bihar
Complaint Case No. 576c/2024

4. The Ld. P.P. duly assisted by learned counsel for the complainant has fairly submitted that both the parties have agreed to take divorce with mutual consent.

5. Heard the submissions of respective Id. Counsels and perused the record of the case.

6. From the report of the Mediation Center it is apparent that both the parties amicably settled their matrimonial disputes and agreed to take divorce with mutual consent as per the endorsement on the Settlement Agreement dated 08.05.2026 of the Mediation Center and pursuant to which the statements of the petitioner/husband and the complainant/wife were recorded before this Court. As per their statements as well as the settlements, both the parties have agreed to separate and they will seek divorce by mutual consent u/s 13 (B) of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 within 23rd June.

7. The complainant/wife deposed in her statement that she has been living separately at her maternal home since 2024. That she has amicably settled their matrimonial dispute with her husband and accordingly both have settled to dissolve their marriage by mutual divorce without any monetary benefit. Further she identified the Settlement Agreement and her signatures on it which are marked as **Exhibit-P-1 and Exhibit-P-1/1 respectively**. That she is voluntarily deposing before the Court.

8. That the petitioner/husband deposed that the complainant/wife is living in her maternal house. Further he identified the settlement agreement and his signatures on it which are marked as **Exhibit-P-1 and Exhibit-P-2 respectively**. That he is voluntarily deposing before the Court.

9. That they have agreed to take appropriate steps towards proper

IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE, MUNGER
ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION No.-473/2026

Krishan Kumar and others Vs. The State of Bihar
Complaint Case No. 576c/2024

disposal of the pending cases *qua* each other.

10. From the record it is apparent that the cognizance in the case has already been taken by the Ld. Trial Court. That the petitioners have no criminal antecedents as per para 3 of the present anticipatory bail application.

11. Hence, considering the settlement between the parties, the nature of allegations and in the totality of the facts and circumstances of the case, this anticipatory bail application is hereby **Allowed**. Therefore, in the event of arrest or surrender of the petitioners within 15 days from the date of communication of this order, the petitioners are directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Trial Court subject to the conditions as laid down U/S 438(2) of Cr.P.C.

Dictated

(ALOK GUPTA)
Sessions Judge, Munger
Dated:- 23.05.2026