

**IN THE COURT OF
DISTRICT & ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE-I
MUNGER, BIHAR**

Anticipatory Bail Application No. 639 of 2026

Meera Devi and Other Vs State of Bihar

Tetiya Bambar P.S. Case No. 90 of 2025

Order dated: 25.05.2026

ORDER

25.05.2026

The present Anticipatory Bail Application has been filed by the Ld. Defense Counsel on behalf of Petitioners namely, **(1) Meera Devi** w/o Arun Yadav and **(2) Arun Yadav @ Arvind Yadav** s/o Bharat Yadav who are apprehending of arrest in connection with Tetiya Bambar P.S. Case No. 90 of 2025 under Sections 87 & 3(5) of BNS, pending in the Court of Ld. A.C.J.M.-IV, Munger. Learned Counsel for the Petitioners' is present on calling and Learned Additional Public Prosecutor on behalf of the State is also present.

As per the Bail Application, it is submitted that the Petitioners are innocent and they have not committed any offence and falsely implicated in this case. He further submits that on perusal of FIR there is great delay in lodging the FIR which creates doubt over the prosecution story and the Petitioners and Informant are next door neighbour. The fact of this incident is son of the Petitioner No. 2 is missing and for that reason the Petitioner No. 2 has lodged a complaint case vide Complaint Case No. 896c of 2025 and the Petitioner No. 2 is active village politics as the moto of the FIR is just to harass the Petitioners lower down the prestige in the locality and society. In para-2 of the Application, it is certified that the Petitioners have previously filed an Anticipatory Bail Application which was rejected by this Court with direction vide ABA No. 1408 of 2025 and no other bail application has been filed before this Court or before Hon'ble High Court of Patna. The Petitioners have no criminal antecedent. The Petitioners are ready to furnish the bail bond and they are also ready to comply with direction of this Court. Hence, it is prayed to enlarge the Petitioners on Anticipatory Bail.

Learned Additional Public Prosecutor on behalf of the State vehemently opposed the present Anticipatory Bail Application.

**IN THE COURT OF
DISTRICT & ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE-I
MUNGER, BIHAR**

Anticipatory Bail Application No. 639 of 2026

Meera Devi and Other Vs State of Bihar

Tetiya Bambar P.S. Case No. 90 of 2025

Order dated: 25.05.2026

As per the written application of the Informant, the case of the prosecution is that the Informant namely Niranjan Yadav alleged that on 19.08.2025, Shakuni Kumar has kidnapped his daughter about 18 years old. When the Informant went to the house Shakuni Kumar, his parents started to abuse him. He further alleged that the parents of Shakuni Kumar namely Arun Yadav and Meera Devi has also helped him in kidnapping. The Informant concerns about his daughter may be Shakuni Kumar killed her.

Heard both sides and perused the records.

From the perusal of the case record, it is clear that the Petitioners are named in FIR and there is allegation against Petitioners to help main accused person namely Shakuni Kumar in kidnapping the minor daughter of Informant for the purpose of marriage and to use abusive words. The Petitioners are parents of main accused person in this case. All prosecution witnesses in paras-2, 3 & 6 of the case diary have supported the case of prosecution. Para-14 of the case diary contained school transfer certificate of victim in which her age has been mentioned as 24.02.2010 and the victim appears minor on the date of occurrence. This Court has rejected an Anticipatory Bail Application earlier with direction to file fresh application after recovery of victim and recording her statement before the Court and police. On perusal of the case diary, it is also clear that paras-94 & 95 of the case diary disclosed the fact that the victim has voluntarily came in concerned Police Station and the police recorded her statement under Section 180 of BNSS in which she has admitted that she is major and voluntarily married with co-accused person Shakuni and she is pregnant about eight (08) months as well as she claimed that she want to live with her husband. This case is still under investigation.

Therefore, considering aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as discussed above, nature of the allegation, relation of petitioners with main accused person, present status of this case as well as recovery of victim and

**IN THE COURT OF
DISTRICT & ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE-I
MUNGER, BIHAR**

Anticipatory Bail Application No. 639 of 2026

Meera Devi and Other Vs State of Bihar

Tetiya Bambar P.S. Case No. 90 of 2025

Order dated: 25.05.2026

statement of victim under Section 180 of BNSS, I am of the view that though the Petitioners are parents of main accused person in this case and the victim has recovered and she has voluntarily married with main accused person. Hence, the Petitioners deserve the privilege of anticipatory bail.

Accordingly, the prayer of bail of the petitioners namely **(1) Meera Devi** and **(2) Arun Yadav @ Arvind Yadav** is hereby **Allowed** with conditions on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000x2/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Court concerned with condition that;

1. One bailor will be close relative of the Petitioners who undertakes how he/she is related with the Petitioners.
2. The Petitioner undertake not to threat the prosecution witnesses after their release and co-operate the police during investigation.
3. Surrender within Twenty (20) days from the receipt of the order to Court below.

Dictated,

Sd/-

District & Addl. Sessions Judge-I, Munger

Dated: 25.05.2026

Date of Order/Judgement	25.05.2026
Uploading Date	03.06.2026
Uploaded By	Neeraj Kumar Pandey (Steno)