

Title Suit No. 116/2024

S. No.	Date of order of proceeding	Order with signature of the Court	Office action taken
1.	2.	3.	4..
	15/06/2026	1. The case is called out, Ld. Counsel on behalf of plaintiff moved an ammedment petition dated 12.02.2026. 2. Heard the both side, upon perusal of the petition it transpire the plaintiff sought amendment to give further accurate description of the suit property and the plaintiff had not added any other property in the list in his amendment application, it was held in <u>Kishore Kumar Deshmukh v. Ajay Kumar Sinha</u> AIR 2009, Jhar 148 that the amendment to incorporate detailed and correct narration of the property cannot be disallowed. 3. <u>In Vineet Kumar v. Mangal Sain Wadhera</u>, AIR 1985 SC 817, it is stated that amendment in the plaint is not allowed if it changes the cause of action. However, where the amendment does not consititute an addition of a new cause of action, or raise a new case, but amounts to no more than adding to the facts already on the record, the amendment would be allowed even after the statutory period of Limitation. Procedural justice requires that the events and developments subsequent to the institution of proceedings must be taken into consideration in appropriate cases to promote substantial justice. 4. After the perusal this court allowed amendment petition on cost of rupees 1,000/- (one thousand rupees) list the matter on evidence. Manish Ranjan Civil Judge (Jr. Div.) Benipatti (Madhubani)	`