

Court of District & Additional Sessions Judge III, Jhanjharpur
B.P. No- 351/2026
(Laukahi P.S case No- 75/2026 Gr.No.-1162/2026)
Sanjiv Kumar Yadav @ Sanjiv Kumar Vs. State of Bihar
U/s- 190,191(2),191(3),126(2),115(2),110,74,329(3),329(4),352,351(2) of BNS

S.N	Date of order	Order with signature	Office notes as to action taken
1	2	3	4
	19.06.2026	- Regular bail petition has been filed on behalf of the accused in custody namely Sanjiv Kumar Yadav @ Sanjiv Kumar aged about 30 years S/o- Raj Kumar Yadav R/o-Village- Atari PS.- Laukahi, District Madhubani, in connection with Laukahi P.S case No- 75/2026 Gr.No.-1162/2026, U/s- 190, 191(2), 191(3), 126(2), 115(2), 110, 74, 329(3), 329(4), 352, 351(2) of BNS. - Heard Sri Rishi Kumar Jha, learned counsel for the petitioner and Sri Deo Shankar Jha learned APP for the state. - The learned counsel of the petitioner has submitted that no other regular bail petition has been filed earlier on behalf of the petitioner. It has further been submitted that the petitioner is quite innocent, has committed no offence and has falsely been implicated in this case. It has been further stated that petitioner has no criminal antecedent. It has further been stated that the consumer of informant's Bharat Gas agency vide consumer No. 108918042 and on the allged date of occurrence petitioner had gone to gas agency for taking gas which was earlier booked vide no. 2029 where the prosecution party said that the shop is closed today if you have urgent then you can get it on black of Rs. 2200 and on protest implicated in this false case with false allegation and the truth will be known after watching the CCTV camera. He has further submitted that the petitioner is in judicial custody since 29.05.2026. It has further been submitted that the petitioner is a local man and he is ready to furnish the satisfactory bailors, if he be enlarged on bail. The learned counsel prays to allow the petition. - The learned APP opposed the bail petition and prayed to reject it. - The prosecution case in short is that on 28.05.2026 at about 04:30 PM two persons on motorcycle came to the office of gas agency to get gas. They were informed verbally from the inside of the house premises that the office was closed today despite this they did not agree. The informant's son Chandan Kumar came from his house premises and convinced them but they started abusing, then informant's son came back and after 15-20 minutes they called 8-10 persons through mobile in which two persons are unknown, one person came at the door of the house premises and started misbehaving and on protest started abuse and assaulted to the informant's husband and also to the informant and her son and one of them started taking out revolver from his waist. The informant's son immediately called to the police station then they fled away but one of them Sanjiv Kumar has been caught by the people and the police took him to the police station. - Perused the case record, case diary, LCR submissions of both the parties and other materials available on record and found that there is allegation against the petitioner to assault informant's son with 8-10 persons with stick and balla and petitioner Sanjiv Kumar Yadav @	

Court of District & Additional Sessions Judge III, Jhanjharpur

B.P. No- 351/2026

(Laukahi P.S case No- 75/2026 Gr.No.-1162/2026)

Sanjiv Kumar Yadav @ Sanjiv Kumar Vs. State of Bihar

U/s- 190,191(2),191(3),126(2),115(2),110,74,329(3),329(4),352,351(2) of BNS

	19.06.2026	Sanjiv Kumar was apprehended and in this case three persons have been injured in which two injuries are normal and one injury is reserved and further the petitioner is in custody since 29.05.2026. 7. In the facts and circumstances mentioned above and keeping in view the period of custody, the petitioner namely Sanjiv Kumar Yadav @ Sanjiv Kumar is directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10000/- with two sureties of like amount, with an undertaking under section 437 (3) of Cr.P.C, to the satisfaction of the learned court concerned and with further condition that the petitioner will not be involved in case of similar nature in future and will co-operate in trial. <u>Further the petitioner will file bail bond after framing of charge or after 90 (ninety) days from today, whichever is earlier.</u> Dictated and corrected by Anil Kumar Ram District & Additional Sessions Judge III Jhanjharpur	
--	------------	--	--