

**In the Court of District & Add. Sessions Judge-II,
Jhanjharpur (Madhubani)**

Anticipatory Bail Petition No.-530/2026

1. Rameshwar Thakur aged about 41 years S/o Late Birbal Thakur.
2. Dharamsheela Devi aged about 37 years W/o Rameshwar Thakur.

Both R/o Village- Kisanipatti Gandhi Tola, P.S.- Phulparas, District-Madhubani.

.....Petitioners

Versus

1. State of Bihar through Additional Public Prosecutor.

.....Opposite Party

Anticipatory Bail Petition Under Section 482 B.N.S.S.

For the petitioner/s	:	Shri Satish Bharti, Advocate.
For the O.P. State	:	Shri Dev Shankar Jha, APP

O R D E R

Dated: 05.08.2026

This anticipatory bail petition filed under section 482 B.N.S.S. on behalf of the above named petitioners **1. Rameshwar Thakur & 2. Dharamsheela Devi**, who are apprehending their arrest in connection with **Phulparas P.S. Case No. 174/2026**, for the offence **under section 189(2), 126(2), 115(2), 110, 76, 324(4), 352, 351(2), 351(3), 303(2) of the B.N.S.**, which is pending in the court of A.C.J.M.-II, Jhanjharpur, is being pressed and heard.

The prosecution case in brief is that the informant of this case is Yumana Devi and has stated that on 22.04.2026 at about 11:30 in the day, they were at home in their courtyard. Accused persons after planning and creating an illegal ruckus, armed with stick, belt, knife, came and starting abusing. It is further stated that they entered the courtyard, and started searching for her husband Bhola Thakur, with the intention of killing him. But her husband had gone to Phulparas to work as a labourer. She said that he is not at home, on which all the men started entering the house. When she objected, then Rameshwar Thakur hit her on the forehead with the intention

of killing with a stick in his hand. Due to which her forehead got torn, she started bleeding, stumbled and fell down. When her daughter Babita Kumari came to save her, then Rameshwar Thakur hit her on the forehead with the iron rod in his hand, and blood started flowing. She also became unconscious. By then her other daughter Santoshi Kumari, and son Prabhash Kumar came to save, then they also got assaulted with sticks from them. All of us were beaten with sticks by all the above mentioned people, and were badly injured. They remained lying on the ground in the courtyard of the house due to the beating. Meanwhile all the men entered the house, and started searching here and there. Birendra Thakur took a suitcase from her house, which contained papers and Rs. 3000/- Subhash Thakur snatched the silver chain from the neck of her daughter Santoshi Kumari which had a gold locket attached to it, worth about Rs. 25000/- and all of them together started vandalizing the house. Broke the assets of the house which caused a loss of Rs. 5000/-. By then many villagers had come after hearing the noise, and when they protested, all of them went away threatening us. Then the police on 112 number was informed by the neighbour, and after a few minutes the 112 patrol team police arrived, and took us to the Phulparas sub-divisional hospital in their vehicle. Where she was treated, and the other injured were referred to Darbhanga for better treatment. Many people have witnessed this incident. Hence, this case.

Learned counsel for the accused petitioners submitted that no other bail petition is pending earlier before your Hon'ble Court, or before Hon'ble Patna High Court, Patna. There is no criminal antecedents against the petitioners. It is further stated that the petitioners are quite innocent, and have not committed any offence. That the total case story is false, concocted, and this false case has been lodged against the petitioners due to grudge, malice, spite, and dirty village party politics. It is further stated that no occurrence as alleged did ever took place. That from the perusal of the case diary not a single witness had stated about any evidence against the petitioner, and not any allegation disclosed against the petitioners. It is further stated that from perusal of case diary u/s- 110, 76, 303(2), of B.N.S are not made out against the petitioners. Nothing has been recovered from the possession of the petitioners. Accordingly, prayed to grant anticipatory bail to the accused petitioners.

Learned APP had opposed the prayer of anticipatory bail.

Heard the argument and perused the case diary. From statement of witnesses in para-17, and 18 of case diary, it is apparent that both sides belong to same family, and their houses are adjacent to each other. It is also apparent that they had land dispute between them, and there is exaggeration in story narrated by informant. Injury sustained by injured are simple in nature. Petitioners do not bear any criminal antecedent. Considering all these facts and circumstances, this court find this to be an apt case for grant of anticipatory bail. Hence, anticipatory bail petition of petitioner namely **Rameshwar Thakur & Dharamsheela Devi** is **allowed**. It is hereby ordered that in the event of surrender of arrest before the court below/concerned police within 30 days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, the petitioners shall be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court below/police subject to the condition under the provision of section 482(2) BNSS.

Dictated

Sd/-

**Addl. Sessions Judge-II,
Jhanjharpur**

Memo No...../2026, Dated:.....

Copy of order be forwarded to the court of A.C.J.M-II, Jhanjharpur for information and needful.

**Addl. Sessions Judge-II,
Jhanjharpur**