

Court of District & Additional Sessions Judge III, Jhanjharpur

B.P. No- 331/2026

(Bheja P.S case No- 104/2024 Gr.No.-1777/2024)

Tripit Narayan Yadav Vs. State of Bihar

U/s- 191(2),191(3),329(4),126(2),115(2),118,109,103(2),324(4),351(2),352,3(5) of BNS

S.N	Date of order	Order with signature	Office notes as to action taken
1	2	3	4
	10.06.2026	1. Regular bail petition has been filed on behalf of the accused in custody namely Tripit Narayan Yadav, S/o Laxaman Yadav, aged about- 60 years R/o Village -Darah-Nabatoliya, PS- Bheja ,District Madhubani, in connection with Bheja P.S case No- 104/2024 Gr.No.-1777/2024, U/s- 191(2), 191(3), 329(4), 126(2), 115(2), 118, 109, 103(2), 324(4), 351(2), 352,3(5) of BNS. 2. Heard Sri Raj Kumar Yadav, learned counsel for the petitioner and Sri D.S. Jha learned APP for the state. 3. The learned counsel of the petitioner has submitted that no any sort of bail petition has earlier been filed on behalf of this petitioner before this court or any superior court. It has further been submitted that the petitioner is quite innocent, has committed no offence and has falsely been implicated in this case. It has also been submitted that the petitioner has no criminal antecedent. He has further submitted that the petitioner is in judicial custody since 22.11.2024. It has further been submitted that the petitioner is a local man and he is ready to furnish the satisfactory bailors, if he be enlarged on bail. The learned counsel prays to allow the petition. 4. The learned APP opposed the bail petition and prayed to reject it. 5. The prosecution case according to informant in brief is that on 30.10.2024, the informant of the case, stated that all FIR named accused persons including these petitioners came with fifty person to his field and demolished his boundary pillar, broke the wire and ruined the crop of his field and when he knew, he came to his field and saw that all the accused person was demolishing the boundary pillars and ruining the crops. When he stopped them to do so, then in arrogance Tirpeet Narayan Yadav said that to kill him then his son Sushil Kumar Yadav and Hareram Yadav forcefully caught him and Sunil Kumar Yadav had assaulted him by sharp hoe (Kudal) on his head with intention to kill him by which he had serious injury on his head after hearing the noise, his brother Bharat mMahto along with his wife Punam Devi came to save him then Tirpeet Narayan Yadav and Rambharosh Mahto also assaulted them and Rambharosh Mahto molested his wife and Basant Yadav snatched his wife's chain worth Rs. 80,000 and fled away by threatening him 6. Perused the case record, case diary, LCR, submissions of both the parties and other materials available on case record and found that bail petition of the petitioner has been rejected by Hon'ble Patna	

Court of District & Additional Sessions Judge III, Jhanjharpur

B.P. No- 331/2026

(Bheja P.S case No- 104/2024 Gr.No.-1777/2024)

Tripit Narayan Yadav Vs. State of Bihar

U/s- 191(2),191(3),329(4),126(2),115(2),118,109,103(2),324(4),351(2),352,3(5) of BNS

10.06.2026	High Court in Crim. Misc. No. 21176/25. On further perusal I find that petitioner was member of unlawful assembly of fifty members, who assaulted Laxman Mahto which resulted into a serious injury measuring 14 inches having 41 stitches which ultimately culminated into the death of the deceased . The postmortem report shows that cause of death is due to intracranial, hemorrhages, compression and shock. 7. In the facts and circumstances mentioned above and keeping in view the serious nature of allegation against the petitioner, the above said bail petition filed on behalf of the accused Tripit Narayan Yadav stands rejected. Dictated and corrected by Anil Kumar Ram District & Additional Sessions Judge III Jhanjharpur	
------------	--	--