

**In the Court of District & Add. Sessions Judge-II,
(Jhanjharpur) Madhubani**

Regular Bail Petition No.330/2026

1. Ranjit Kumar @ Ranjit Kumar Mandal aged about 31 years, S/o Neti Lal Mandal.

R/o Village- Kalapati, PS- Phulparas, District- Madhubani.

.....Petitioner

Versus

1. State of Bihar through Additional Public Prosecutor.

.....Opposite Party

Regular Bail Petition Under Section 483 B.N.S.S.

For the petitioner/s : Shri Ratnesh Kumar, Adv

For the O.P. State : Shri Dev Shankar Jha, APP

O R D E R

Dated: 02.07.2026

This regular bail petition has been filed under section 483 B.N.S.S. on behalf of the petitioner **Ranjit Kumar @ Ranjit Kumar Mandal** who has been arrested in connection with **Phulparas PS Case No.195/2026, GR No.985/2026 under section 319(2), 318(4), 336(2), 338, 340(2) of the B.N.S. & 66, 66(C), 66(D), IT Act 2000**, which is pending in the court of A.C.J.M-II, Jhanjharpur, is being pressed and heard.

The prosecution case in brief is that the cyber crime security unit, Patna informed Phulparas Police, that fake documents were being prepared using unauthorized websites, such as Print Portal, and Digital Fast Print Portal. Based on this information, a police team conducted a raid at Ranjeet Telecom, located at Kalapati Chowk, Phulparas. During the raid, owner of the shop Ranjeet Telecom was found operating the centre. Two HP laptops, one Moto mobile phone, one Vivo v25 pro mobile phone, charger, and other items were seized. After examining the laptops, it revealed that the prohibited portals were open, and being used to prepare and print fake Aadhar Cards, Voter Id Cards, and other identity documents by altering names, addresses, and photographs. The seized devices and documents were listed, seized in the presence of witnesses, and videography of the seizure was conducted. Hence, this case.

Learned counsel for the accused petitioner submits that the accused petitioner is innocent, and has not committed any offence. He has one criminal antecedent. No occurrence as alleged by the prosecution has taken place, and petitioner has been implicated in this case falsely due to mere suspicion. There is no specific allegation against the petitioner. The allegation against the petitioner is vague and false. The prosecution case is totally false, concocted, baseless, and absurd. The petitioner is in judicial custody since 12.05.2026, and accordingly it has been prayed to grant bail to the accused petitioner.

The learned APP had opposed the prayer for bail.

Heard the argument, and perused the case diary. From perusal of case diary, it appears that petitioner is proprietor of Ranjit Telecom shop, which is a Common service centre(CSP). Petitioner had also submitted document related to his authorization as CSP. As per seizure list, laptop, printer, adhar card etc were seized from his shop. Regarding the same petitioner had accepted that except adhar card, other things belongs to him, and is used for providing service in CSP centre. Even in para-11 of case diary, FSL report shows that no any scientific evidence was found on crime scene. In para-30, 33, and 40, case diary, witnesses whose adhar card was seized, had stated that they had lost their adhar card in the shop of the petitioner, and it was petitioner who had issued them their new adhar card. So, apparently it appears that petitioner was doing what he was supposed to do. Petitioner is in custody since 12.05.26, which is about two months. Considering these facts, the bail petition of petitioner namely **Ranjit Kumar @ Ranjit Kumar Mandal** is **allowed**. He is directed to be released on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of Ld. Court concerned.

Dictated

Sd/-

**Addl. Sessions Judge-II,
Jhanjharpur**

Memo No...../2026, Dated:.....

Copy of order be forwarded to the court of A.C.J.M-II, Jhanjharpur for information and needful.

**Addl. Sessions Judge-II,
Jhanjharpur**