

Court of Additional Sessions Judge I, Jhanjharpur

A.B.P. No-391/2026

(Lalmaniya P.S case No- 20/2026)

Md. Jamshed Vs. State of Bihar

U/s 126(2), 115(2), 109, 352, 351(2)(3) of the BNS & ¾ D.P. Act.

Pending in the Court of Ld. S.D.J.M., Jhanjharpur.

S.N	Date of order	Order with signature	Office notes as to action taken
1	2	3	4
	27.07.2026	1. This anticipatory bail petition has been filed on behalf of accused namely Md. Jamshed, seeking pre-arrest bail in connection with Lalmaniya P.S case No-20/2026,U/s 126(2), 115(2), 109, 352, 351(2)(3) of the BNS & ¾ D.P. Act. 2. Heard Sri Ram Nath Sahu, Ld. Advocate on behalf of petitioner and Sri Indrakant Prasad, learned APP on behalf of the state. 3. Ld. Counsel Sri Ram Nath Sahu on behalf of the petitioner has submitted that earlier no other bail petition either regular or anticipatory has been filed either before this Ld. Court or before Hon'ble High Court Patna and petitioner has no criminal antecedent. It is further submitted that petitioner is quite innocent and has not committed any offence and petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case out of grudge, malice and dirty village party politics. It is also submitted that informant has assaulted her husband and abandoned him and no any specific allegation against the petitioner, petitioner never tortured the informant for demand of dowry. Lastly it is submitted that petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with this case, and ld. Counsel prays to enlarge the petitioner on anticipatory bail. 4. The learned APP Sri Indrakant Prasad, opposed the petition and prayed	

Court of Additional Sessions Judge I, Jhanjharpur

A.B.P. No-391/2026

(Lalmaniya P.S case No- 20/2026)

Md. Jamshed Vs. State of Bihar

U/s 126(2), 115(2), 109, 352, 351(2)(3) of the BNS & ¾ D.P. Act.

Pending in the Court of Ld. S.D.J.M., Jhanjharpur.

	Contd. 27.07.2026	to reject it. 5. The prosecution story in brief is that informant of this case is Sahiba Khatoon, she has alleged that on 04.04.2026 at 02:00 P.M., when she was going to her sasural and entered into her matrimonial house, her husband started abusing and assaulted her, other co-accused persons being united and pulled her hair, dragged her into court-yard and assaulted with hasuwa, when she shouted, her father namely Md. Kadir came to rescue, all accused persons including this petitioner assaulted him by means of dabiya, resulted, he sustained multiple injuries. Hence, this case 6. Heard both sides at length and perused the case record along with case diary including injury report as well as deceased postmortem report. From perusal of the same it transpires that on the basis of fardbyan made by informant victim Sahiba Khatoon, this very case has been registered U/s 126(2), 115(2), 109, 352, 351(2)(3) of the BNS & ¾ D.P. Act against this petitioner and other accused persons. From perusal of the record it also transpires that it is a case of unlawful demand of dowry and torture to the informant victim, the petitioner accused is specifically named in FIR and there is direct allegation against the petitioner that he along with other co-accused persons being united and pulled her hair, dragged her into court-yard and assaulted with hasuwa, when she shouted, her father namely Md. Kadir came to rescue, all accused persons including this petitioner assaulted him by means of dabiya, resulted, he	
--	------------------------------	--	--

Court of Additional Sessions Judge I, Jhanjharpur

A.B.P. No-391/2026

(Lalmaniya P.S case No- 20/2026)

Md. Jamshed Vs. State of Bihar

U/s 126(2), 115(2), 109, 352, 351(2)(3) of the BNS & ¼ D.P. Act.

Pending in the Court of Ld. S.D.J.M., Jhanjharpur.

Contd. 27.07.2026	sustained multiple injuries and in course of treatment the above named father of the informant namely Md. Kadir, the victim died. Injury report of the injured informant as well as postmortem report of the deceased, supported the case of the prosecution and from perusal of the case diary, it also transpires that the witnesses in para No. 6, 7 & 8 have also supported the case of the prosecution, in supervision of the case of SDPO Phulparas, recorded in para No. 63 of the case diary, the matter is found true against the petitioner and other co-accused persons U/s 126(2), 115(2), 109, 103(1), 303(3), 352, 351(2)(3) & 3(5) of the BNS & ¼ D.P. Act and the matter is still under investigation. 7. Therefore, considering the facts and discussions made above as well as gravity and nature of offence, I am of the opinion that petitioner does not deserve the privilege of anticipatory bail. Hence, prayer for anticipatory bail on behalf of petitioner namely Md. Jamshed, is hereby rejected. Dictated and Corrected Sd/- (Sushil Kumar Dixit) Addl. Sessions Judge-Ist Jhanjharpur. Memo No..... Date..... Copy of order be forwarded to the Court of Ld. SDJM, Jhanjharpur for information and needful. (Sushil Kumar Dixit) Addl. Sessions Judge-Ist Jhanjharpur.	
------------------------------------	--	--

Court of Additional Sessions Judge I, Jhanjharpur

A.B.P. No-391/2026

(Lalmaniya P.S case No- 20/2026)

Md. Jamshed Vs. State of Bihar

U/s 126(2), 115(2), 109, 352, 351(2)(3) of the BNS & ¼ D.P. Act.

Pending in the Court of Ld. S.D.J.M., Jhanjharpur.

	Contd. 10.07.2026		
--	------------------------------	--	--