

Court of Additional Sessions Judge I, Jhanjharpur

A.B.P. No-486/2026

(Madhepur P.S case No- 115/2026)

Pankaj Yadav & other Vs. State of Bihar

U/s- 189(2), 190, 191(2), 115(2), 117(2), 118(1), 109(1), 74, 303(2), 352 of the BNS.

Pending in the Court of Ld. AC.J.M.-III, Jhanjharpur.

S.N	Date of order	Order with signature	Office notes as to action taken
1	2	3	4
	10.06.2026	1. This anticipatory bail petition has been filed on behalf of accused namely 1. Pankaj Yadav and 2. Baidynath Yadav, who are apprehending their arrest in connection with Madhepur P.S case No- 115/2026, U/s- 189(2), 190, 191(2), 115(2), 117(2), 118(1), 109(1), 74, 303(2), 352 of the BNS. 2. Heard Sri Ramesh Chandra Thakur, Ld. Advocate on behalf of petitioners and Sri Indrakant Prasad, learned APP for the state. 3. Ld. Counsel Sri Ramesh Chandra Thakur on behalf of the petitioners has submitted that earlier no any other bail petition has been filed before this Court or before Hon'ble High Court Patna and petitioners have no any criminal antecedent. It is further submitted that petitioners are quite innocent and have not committed any offence and petitioners have falsely been implicated in this case due to outcome of malice and past grudge with view to harass the petitioners. It is also submitted that the prosecution story is totally false, baseless and concocted and alleged all sections are bailable except U/s 118(1), 109(1), 74 & 303(2) of the BNS, which are added to make this case serious and graver and there is a land dispute in between the parties. Lastly it is submitted that petitioners have apprehending their arrest in this case, and ld. Counsel prays to enlarge	

Court of Additional Sessions Judge I, Jhanjharpur

A.B.P. No-486/2026

(Madhepur P.S case No- 115/2026)

Pankaj Yadav & other Vs. State of Bihar

U/s- 189(2), 190, 191(2), 115(2), 117(2), 118(1), 109(1), 74, 303(2), 352 of the BNS.

Pending in the Court of Ld. AC.J.M.-III, Jhanjharpur.

Contd. 10.06.2026	the petitioners on anticipatory bail. 4. The learned APP Sri Indrakant Prasad, opposed the petition and prayed to reject it. 5. The prosecution story in brief is that, the informant of this case is Pramod Yadav, he has alleged that on 24.04.2026 at 01:00 P.M., due to contention with regard to digging the ditch, all the accused persons named in FIR, assaulted to the informant and his father, resulted they sustained injury and accused persons also snatched golden Hanuman Chakti from the neck of the father of the informant. Hence, this case. 6. Heard both sides at the length and perused the case record including case diary available on the record. From perusal of the record it transpires that on the basis of application given by the informant Pramod Yadav before SHO Madhepur, this very case has been registered U/s- 189(2), 190, 191(2), 115(2), 117(2), 118(1), 109(1), 74, 303(2), 352 of the BNS. against the petitioners and others. From perusal of the record it also transpires that as per allegation alleged in the FIR, contention arises with regard to digging the ditch and in course of that it is alleged that accused persons assaulted to the informant and his father, resulted they sustained injury. It is also alleged that accused persons also snatched golden Hanuman Chakti from the neck of the father of the informant. From perusal of the injury report it transpires that all injuries are simple in nature caused by hard blunt object. Apart from that petitioners have no	
------------------------------	---	--

Court of Additional Sessions Judge I, Jhanjharpur

A.B.P. No-486/2026

(Madhepur P.S case No- 115/2026)

Pankaj Yadav & other Vs. State of Bihar

U/s- 189(2), 190, 191(2), 115(2), 117(2), 118(1), 109(1), 74, 303(2), 352 of the BNS.

Pending in the Court of Ld. AC.J.M.-III, Jhanjharpur.

Contd. 10.06.2026	any criminal antecedent and allegation as levelled in the FIR is not specific, it is omnibus and general in nature. 7. Therefore, considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances discussed above as well as omnibus and general allegation against petitioners, I am inclined to enlarge the petitioners on anticipatory bail accordingly, petitioners namely, 1. Pankaj Yadav and 2. Baidynath Yadav, are directed to be enlarged on bail, in the event their arrest or surrender before on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of Ld. Court below, as condition laid u/s 482 of BNSS. Dictated and Corrected (Sushil Kumar Dixit) Addl. Sessions Judge-Ist Jhanjharpur.	
------------------------------	---	--

Court of Additional Sessions Judge I, Jhanjharpur

A.B.P. No-486/2026

(Madhepur P.S case No- 115/2026)

Pankaj Yadav & other Vs. State of Bihar

U/s- 189(2), 190, 191(2), 115(2), 117(2), 118(1), 109(1), 74, 303(2), 352 of the BNS.

Pending in the Court of Ld. AC.J.M.-III, Jhanjharpur.

--	--	--	--