

Court of Additional Sessions Judge I, Jhanjharpur

A.B.P. No-483/2026

(Rudrapur P.S. Case No. 43/2026)

Santosh Kumar Yadav Vs. State of Bihar

U/s- 318(4), 319(2), 336, 337, 338, 339, 340, 341, 342 of the BNS, 66, 66(c), 66(d), 73, 74 of I.T. Act 2000 & 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40 of Adhar Act.

Pending in the Court of Miss. F.N.Fatma JMFC, Jhanjharpur.

S. N	Date of order	Order with signature	Office notes as to action taken
1	2	3	4
	17.06.2026	1. This anticipatory bail petition has been filed on behalf of the accused namely Santosh Kumar Yadav, seeking pre-arrest bail, in connection with Rudrapur P.S. Case No. 43/2026, U/s- 318(4), 319(2), 336, 337, 338, 339, 340, 341, 342 of the BNS, 66, 66(c), 66(d), 73, 74 of I.T. Act 2000 & 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40 of Adhar Act. 2. Heard Sri Ramesh Chandra Thakur, learned counsel on behalf of the petitioner and Sri Indrakant Prasad learned APP for the state. 3. The learned counsel Sri Ramesh Chandra Thakur on behalf of the petitioner has submitted that earlier no other regular or anticipatory bail petition has been filed on behalf of the petitioner either before this Court or before Hon'ble High Court Patna and petitioner has no any criminal antecedent. It is further submitted that petitioner is quite innocent and has not committed any offence and petitioner has falsely been implicated in this false case due to malice and past grudge and with a view to harass only. It is also submitted that prosecution story is totally false and concocted and no occurrence as alleged has taken place and alleged all sections are bailable except U/s 318(4), 337 & 338 of the BNS, which are	

Court of Additional Sessions Judge I, Jhanjharpur

A.B.P. No-483/2026

(Rudrapur P.S. Case No. 43/2026)

Santosh Kumar Yadav Vs. State of Bihar

U/s- 318(4), 319(2), 336, 337, 338, 339, 340, 341, 342 of the BNS, 66, 66(c), 66(d), 73, 74 of I.T. Act 2000 & 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40 of Adhar Act.

Pending in the Court of Miss. F.N.Fatma JMFC, Jhanjharpur.

Contd. 17.06.2026	ornamental and petitioner is running certified and authorized CSC having CSC ID 671617440014. Lastly Ld. Counsel has submitted that there is no chance of his absconding if he be enlarged on bail and Id. Consel prayed to enlarge the petitioner on anticipatory bail. 4. The learned APP Sri Indrakant Prasad opposed the bail petition and prayed to reject it. 5. The prosecution case in brief is that the informant of this case is Ashish Kumar, who happens an ASI of Rudrapur P.S., he has alleged that on the basis of information received from CCSU Patna to Cyber P.S. Madhubani that accused person is running a Common Service Centre as Ankush Jan Seva Kendra at Manpatti Chauk using BABA RTPS and print portal and using illegal website for making forged documents. Accordingly Police team raided to said Jan Seva Kendra and found closed and after telephonic conversation with the wife of the petitioner accused with the petitioner, her cousin brother-in-law namely Sujeet Kumar Yadav came and opened the said Jan Seva Kendra further seizure was made as per FIR. Hence, this case. 6. Heard both sides at the length on this instant anticipatory bail petition and perused the case record along with case diary	
------------------------------	--	--

Court of Additional Sessions Judge I, Jhanjharpur

A.B.P. No-483/2026

(Rudrapur P.S. Case No. 43/2026)

Santosh Kumar Yadav Vs. State of Bihar

U/s- 318(4), 319(2), 336, 337, 338, 339, 340, 341, 342 of the BNS, 66, 66(c), 66(d), 73, 74 of I.T. Act 2000 & 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40 of Adhar Act.

Pending in the Court of Miss. F.N.Fatma JMFC, Jhanjharpur.

Contd. 17.06.2026	available. From perusal of the record it transpires that on the basis of computer typed application given by the informant namely Ashish Kumar A.S.I. of Rudrapur P.S., before SHO Rudrapur P.S., this very case has been registered U/s- 318(4), 319(2), 336, 337, 338, 339, 340, 341, 342 of the BNS, 66, 66(c), 66(d), 73, 74 of I.T. Act 2000 & 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40 of Adhar Act against this petitioner. From perusal it also transpires that as per allegation it is alleged in the FIR that accused person is running a Common Service Centre as Ankush Jan Seva Kendra at Manpatti Chauk using BABA RTPS and print portal and using illegal website for making forged documents. Accordingly Police team raided to said Jan Seva Kendra and found closed and after telephonic conversation with the wife of the petitioner accused, her cousin brother-in-law namely Sujeet Kumar Yadav came and opened the said Jan Seva Kendra further seizure was made as per FIR. From perusal it also transpires that on what time the said Ankush Jan Seva Kendra was opened by his cousin brother is not mentioned any where in FIR and it is surprising that the petitioner accused was not present at the time of raid, search and seizure but his cousin brother namely Sujeet Kumar Yadav was present there but neither his signature has taken on search and seizure memo nor
------------------------------	---

Court of Additional Sessions Judge I, Jhanjharpur

A.B.P. No-483/2026

(Rudrapur P.S. Case No. 43/2026)

Santosh Kumar Yadav Vs. State of Bihar

U/s- 318(4), 319(2), 336, 337, 338, 339, 340, 341, 342 of the BNS, 66, 66(c), 66(d), 73, 74 of I.T. Act 2000 & 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40 of Adhar Act.

Pending in the Court of Miss. F.N.Fatma JMFC, Jhanjharpur.

Contd. 17.06.2026	the copy of same has been supplied to him, which creates doubt in search and seizure as alleged. Apart from that from perusal of the entire FIR, which forged and fabricated document was made by the petitioner accused and recovered which document was found forged, is not given in the FIR and from perusal of the record it also transpires that petitioner has annexed the certificate of CSC having CSC ID 671617440014 issued by CSC E-Governance Service India Limited, Ministry of Electronics and Information Technology, Government of India, New Delhi. Apart from that it also transpires that as per para No. 21 of the case dairy, petitioner has no any criminal antecedent and got clean antecedent. 7. Therefore, considering the facts discussed above as well as clean antecedent of the petitioner, I am inclined to enlarge the petitioner Santosh Kumar Yadav, on anticipatory bail, in the event of his arrest or surrender before Ld. Court below within a period of 21 days from the receipt of this order, be enlarged on bail, on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- with two sureties of the like amount, to the satisfaction of Ld. Court below as conditions mentioned U/s 482 of the BNSS with following conditions; I. One of the bailors should be the close relatives and another should have sufficient immovable property within territorial	
Contd. 17.06.2026		

Court of Additional Sessions Judge I, Jhanjharpur

A.B.P. No-483/2026

(Rudrapur P.S. Case No. 43/2026)

Santosh Kumar Yadav Vs. State of Bihar

U/s- 318(4), 319(2), 336, 337, 338, 339, 340, 341, 342 of the BNS, 66, 66(c), 66(d), 73, 74 of I.T. Act 2000 &
35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40 of Adhar Act.

Pending in the Court of Miss. F.N.Fatma JMFC, Jhanjharpur.

jurisdiction of this Court.

II. Petitioner will make himself available whenever it is required in course of investigation.

III. Petitioner and the bailors shall furnish their mobile number at the time of furnishing bail bond and the said mobile number shall be remained in operative mode till disposal of the instant case and petitioner shall co-operate in investigation and trial of the case and shall remain present on each and every date before the trial Court and failure to do so for two consecutive dates, without plausible reason, will entail cancellation of the bail bond by the trial Court.

IV. Petitioner is restrained from being physical and electronic contact with the informant witnesses and other related persons to the case, in case of violation and breach of the conditions as above, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of his bail bond.

Dictated & Corrected by

(Sushil Kumar Dixit)
Addl. Sessions Judge-Ist
Jhanjharpur.

Court of Additional Sessions Judge I, Jhanjharpur

A.B.P. No-483/2026

(Rudrapur P.S. Case No. 43/2026)

Santosh Kumar Yadav Vs. State of Bihar

U/s- 318(4), 319(2), 336, 337, 338, 339, 340, 341, 342 of the BNS, 66, 66(c), 66(d), 73, 74 of I.T. Act 2000 &
35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40 of Adhar Act.

Pending in the Court of Miss. F.N.Fatma JMFC, Jhanjharpur.

--	--	--	--