

**In the Court of District & Add. Sessions Judge-II,
Jhanjharpur (Madhubani)**

Anticipatory Bail Petition No.-459/2026

1. Ful Kumar Sah aged about 45 years S/o Late Bauku Sah.
R/o Village- Mahthaur-khurd, P.S.- Phulparas, District- Madhubani.

.....Petitioner

Versus

1. State of Bihar through Additional Public Prosecutor.

.....Opposite Party

Anticipatory Bail Petition Under Section 482 B.N.S.S.

For the petitioner/s : Shri Shiv Kumar Jha, Advocate

For the O.P. State : Shri Dev Shankar Jha, APP

ORDER

Dated: 02.07.2026

This anticipatory bail petition filed on behalf of the above named petitioner **Ful Kumar Sah**, who is apprehending his arrest in connection with **Phulparas P.S. Case No. 425/2021**, for the offence **under section 341, 323, 307, 354(B), 427, 54, 506, 34 of IPC**, which is pending in the court of A.C.J.M.-II, Jhanjharpur, is being pressed and heard.

The case of the prosecution in nutshell, on the basis of written application of the informant Mina Devi is that on 10.10.2021 at about 1:30 PM, the informant alongwith her daughter Manisha Kumari were doing work. Accused namely Nitish Kumar and Dilip Kumar had abused her daughter. When the informant stopped them from abusing, they accused Nitish Kumar assaulted her with bad intention. In the meantime the other petitioner accused alongwith Nirmala Devi came there, and they also abused and assaulted. Nirmala Devi assaulted with hasua, hence she sustained injury below the ear. When the husband of the informant came, then the accused persons have assaulted his husband. The co-accused Nirmala Devi snatched a golden locket from her neck. Hence, this case.

Learned counsel for the accused petitioner submitted that the petitioner is quite innocent, and has not committed any offence. There is no criminal antecedent against the petitioner. The petitioner is quite innocent, and has not committed any offence. The petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case

due to grudge and malice. The allegation against the petitioner is false, baseless, and concocted. The petitioner has never used criminal force to disrobe modesty with any woman. Hence, offence u/s 354(B) of the IPC is not applicable. A compromise petition has been filed on behalf of both parties. Accordingly, he prays to grant anticipatory bail to the accused petitioner.

Learned APP had opposed the prayer of anticipatory bail.

Heard the argument, and perused the case diary, and LCR. Perusal of case diary shows that petitioner do not bear any criminal antecedent. Injury sustained by injured persons are simple in nature. Moreover, in supervision, police had found the allegation of outraging of modesty, as false. Both parties had compromised the case, and informant who is present in the Court had supported the factum of compromise. Considering all these facts and circumstances, this court find this to be an apt case for grant of anticipatory bail. Hence, anticipatory bail petition of petitioner **Ful Kumar Sah** is **allowed**. It is hereby ordered that in the event of surrender of arrest before the court below/ concerned police within 30 days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, the petitioner shall be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court below/police subject to the condition under the provision of section 482(2) BNSS.

Dictated

Sd/-

**Addl. Sessions Judge-II,
Jhanjharpur**

Memo No...../2026, Dated:.....

Copy of order with LCR forwarded to the court of ACJM-II, Jhanjharpur for information and needful.

**Addl. Sessions Judge-II,
Jhanjharpur**