

Court of District & Additional Sessions Judge III, Jhanjharpur
A.B.P. No- 451/2026
(CR.No.-64/2023)
Sumitra Devi Vs. State of Bihar
U/s- 494,498(A) of IPC & 4 of the D.P Act

S.N	Date of order	Order with signature	Office notes as to action taken
1	2	3	4
	05.06.2026	1. This is the anticipatory bail application U/s – 482 of BNSS seeking pre-arrest bail by the accused persons namely 1. Sumitra Devi aged about 65 years W/o- Late Devu Kamat R/o Vill.- Matras Punarwas, Ward No. 02 , P.S.- Madhepur, District-Madhubani in connection with CR .No.-64/2023 U/s- 494,498(A) of IPC & 4 of the D.P Act. 2. Heard Sri Hari Narayan Gupta for the petitioner and Sri Deo Shankar Jha, learned APP for the state. 3. The learned counsel of the petitioner submitted that no any sort of bail petition has earlier been filed in this court or any higher court. It was further submitted that the petitioner is quite innocent, has not committed any offence and falsely been implicated in this case. It has further been submitted that no criminal case has been lodged against the petitioners. It has further been stated that the claim of the complainant about assault and demanding dowry is false and concocted. It was also submitted that the petitioner is local man and there is no chance of his absconding, if he be enlarged on bail. The learned counsel prayed to allow the petitioner on bail. 4. The learned APP opposed the petition and prayed to reject it. 5. The Prosecution case in brief is that all accused persons assaulted the complainant and the complainant informed to her parents about torture. The father of the complainant came at the house of the accused and organized a panchayat but the accused persons denied panchayat. The accused persons again assaulted the complainant and snatched their cloth and ornaments and ousted from their house since 6 months ago. Further complainant came to know that her husband has married some where else. Just after knowing this the complainant alongwith her father, brother and with other witnesses went together on 16.07.2023 at around 2 PM and there the complainant found her husband living with another women as his wife. The complainant alongwith all the people went there protested , then all accused persons assaulted and abused them and threatened the complainant that	

Court of District & Additional Sessions Judge III, Jhanjharpur
A.B.P. No- 451/2026
(CR.No.-64/2023)
Sumitra Devi Vs. State of Bihar
U/s- 494,498(A) of IPC & 4 of the D.P Act

	cont. 05.06.2026	he has married this other women and will not live with the complainant as husband wife in any circumstances. 6. Perused the case record. From perusal of the case record, L.C.R and submissions of both the parties and other materials available on record, I find that there is no specific allegation against this petitioner and the petitioner is in laws of husband. 7. In the facts and circumstances mentioned above, the anticipatory bail petition filed on behalf of the petitioner namely 1. Sumitra Devi , is hereby allowed. In the event of arrest/surrender by the petitioner within 21 days of the receipt/production of the order, they are directed to be released on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10000/- with two sureties of like amount, subject to the satisfaction of the learned court concerned with an undertaking under section 482(2) of BNSS. Dictated and corrected by Anil Kumar Ram District & Additional Sessions Judge III Jhanjharpur	
--	---------------------	---	--