

Court of District & Additional Sessions Judge III, Jhanjharpur
A.B.P. No- 445/2026
(Cr.No.-504/2025)
Md. Basarat Vs. State of Bihar
U/s- 118(1),126(2) of BNS

S.N	Date of order	Order with signature	Office notes as to action taken
1	2	3	4
	09.06.2026	1. This is the anticipatory bail application U/s –482 of BNSS seeking pre-arrest bail by the accused persons namely Md. Basarat, aged about 37 years, S/o- Md. Muslim, resident of Vill.- Dagmara, Tola, P.S- Dagmara Dist- Supaul in connction with Cr.No.-504/2025, U/s- 118(1),126(2) of BNS. 2. Heard Sri Md. Basarat for the petitioner and Sri Deo Shankar Jha, learned APP for the state. 3. The learned counsel of the petitioner submitted that no any sort of bail petition has earlier been filed in this court or any higher court. It was further submitted that the petitioner is quite innocent, has not committed any offence and falsely been implicated in this case. It has further submitted that petitioner has no any criminal antecedent. It has further been stated that petitioner has filed a complainant against the complainant of the present case and other on 05.06.2025 which annoyance to the complainant very much and after that annoyance this false case has been concocted and filed case has been concocted and filed which will be clear from the perusal of Annexure 1,2,3. It has further been stated that there is major contradiction in the statement of SA and other enquiry witnesses and the alleged occurrence is out of jurisdiction of this Civil Court. It was also submitted that the petitioner is local man and there is no chance of his absconding, if he be enlarged on bail. The learned counsel prayed to allow the petitioner on bail. 4. The learned APP opposed the petition and prayed to reject it. 5. The prosecution case in short is that on 10.05.2010 according to Muslim customs and after marriage she went her sasural and lived there with petitioner and his family members two children had born with her conjugal life. She further stated that after one years her husband developed bad relation with another lady and on protest tortured her by the petitioner and his family member and on complain the petitioner went away Dubai and after then the family member of the petitioner drive away from her sasural to the complainant and her children then she came back her maike. She further stated that she also went to Dubai near her	

Court of District & Additional Sessions Judge III, Jhanjharpur
A.B.P. No- 445/2026
(Cr.No.-504/2025)
Md. Basarat Vs. State of Bihar
U/s- 118(1),126(2) of BNS

	cont.		
	09.06.2026	husband and after knowledge by phone, where the petitioner was not ready to keeping her and bad relationship established with fraud lady and on 19.05.2025 the petitioner returned home from Dubai and snatching ornament and papers, and solemnized second marriage with another lady. She further further stated that she also came home from Dubai on 25.08.2025 but the petitioner and their family member escaped her and using filthy language. 6. Perused the case record. From perusal of the case record, case diary, LCR, submissions of both the parties and other materials available on record, I find that petitioner is husband and there is specific allegation against the petitioner to demand dowry and torture. Further the petitioner is not keeping his wife and also not maintaining the wife and children and as alleged the petitioner has solemnized another marriage. 7. In the facts and circumstances mentioned above and seeing the serious nature of allegation the anticipatory bail petition filed on behalf of the petitioners namely Md. Basarat stands rejected. Dictated and corrected by Anil Kumar Ram District & Additional Sessions Judge III Jhanjharpur	