

Court of District & Additional Sessions Judge I, Jhanjharpur
B.P. No- 264/2026
(Andhrathari P.S Case No- 17/2026)
Sandeep KumarYadav Vs. State of Bihar
U/s- 309(5) of the BNS.
Pending before the Court of Ld. ACJM-II, Jhanjharpur.

S.N	Date of order	Order with signature	Office notes as to action taken
1	2	3	4
	12.05.2026	1. This regular bail petition has been filed on behalf of accused namely Sandeep KumarYadav, who is under custody since 21.04.2026, in connection with Andhrathari P.S Case No- 17/2026, U/s- 309(5) of the BNS. 2. Heard Sri Jay Krishna Yadav, Ld. Advocate on behalf of petitioner and Sri Indrakant Prasad, learned APP for the state. 3. Ld. counsel of the petitioner Sri Jay Krishna Yadav has submitted that earlier ABP No. 160/26 was filed on behalf of petitioner, which was withdrawn besides that no other bail petition has been filed either in this Court or before Hon'ble High Court, Patna and petitioner has one criminal antecedent. It is further submitted that petitioner is quite innocent and has not committed any offence and the petitioner has falsely been implicated in this false case due to dirty party politics and prosecution case is totally false, baseless and concocted. It is also submitted that nothing has been recovered from the possession of the petitioner and no occurrence took place as alleged against the petitioner. Lastly, it is submitted that petitioner is under custody since 21.04.2026, Ld. Counsel prayed to enlarge the petitioner on bail. 4. The learned APP Sri Indrakant Prasad opposed the petition and prayed to reject it. 5. The prosecution case in brief is that the informant of this case is Abhishek Kumar who happens the Branch Manager of Bank Of Baroda.	

Court of District & Additional Sessions Judge I, Jhanjharpur
B.P. No- 264/2026
(Andhrathari P.S Case No- 17/2026)
Sandeep KumarYadav Vs. State of Bihar
U/s- 309(5) of the BNS.
Pending before the Court of Ld. ACJM-II, Jhanjharpur.

Contd... 12.05.2026		He has alleged that on 29.01.2026 he was going towards Hathidih Chauk by his Hyundai Creta Car and at about 12:50 PM, some unknown persons stopped his car and tried to pull him out but due to lock of car's door they could not succeed then accused picked up a stone and threw it at the car windshield and during that accused called a person as Sandeep Yadav. Hence, this case. 6. Heard both side at length and perused the case record along with LCR including case diary available. From perusal of the record it appears that on the basis of written application given by the informant namely Abhishek Kumar before S.H.O. Andhrathari P.S., this very case has been registered U/s- 309(5) of the BNS against this petitioner and unknown accused persons. From perusal of the record it also transpires that it is a case of attempt to commit robbery and save and except confessional statement of the petitioner accused nothing cogent evidence is available in the record. Apart from that no specific allegation is alleged against the petitioner and nothing has been snatched and petitioner is still under custody since 21.04.2026 and the informant has compromised the case with the petitioner and a joint compromise petition bearing signature of both the parties, dully verified by their advocates is filed in course of hearing and the informant himself present before the Court with his Ld. Advocate and informant conceded that he has compromised this case without any fear or favour. 7. Therefore, considering the aforesaid facts and discussions made above, I	
--	--	---	--

Court of District & Additional Sessions Judge I, Jhanjharpur
B.P. No- 264/2026
(Andhrathari P.S Case No- 17/2026)
Sandeep KumarYadav Vs. State of Bihar
U/s- 309(5) of the BNS.
Pending before the Court of Ld. ACJM-II, Jhanjharpur.

	Contd... 12.05.2026	am inclined to enlarge the petitioner, namely, Sandeep KumarYadav on bail, on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- with two sureties of the like amount subject to condition as mentioned U/s 483 of the BNSS to the satisfaction of Ld. Court below with the following further conditions; I. That petitioner will co-operate in investigation and he make himself available whenever it is required by the investigating officer till submission of charge sheet if not chargesheeted. II. That the petitioner will attend the Court as per the bond conditions and petitioner will not commit the similar offence or offences in future. III. That the petitioner will not threaten, induce or promise any person acquainted with the facts of the case to prevent them from disclosing information. IV. An undertaking to follow the conditions as above be given on behalf of petitioner accused along with bail bond, in case of violation and breach of the conditions, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of his bail bond. Dictated and Corrected (Sushil Kumar Dixit) Addl. Sessions Judge-Ist Jhanjharpur.	
--	--------------------------------	---	--