

Court of Additional Sessions Judge I, Jhanjharpur

B.P. No- 239/2026

Rajendra Paswan Vs. State of Bihar

(Rudrapur P.S Case No- 146/2025)

U/s- 420, 467, 468, 471, 120(b) IPC.

Pending in the Court of Miss. F.N. Fatma JMFC, Jhanjharpur .

S.N	Date of order	Order with signature	Office notes as to action taken
1	2	3	4
	23.05.2026	1. This regular bail petition has been filed on behalf of accused namely, Rajendra Paswan who is under custody since 30.03.2026, in connection with Rudrapur P.S Case No- 146/2025, U/s- 420, 467, 468, 471, 120(b) of the IPC. 2. Heard Shri Bechan Ray, Ld. Advocate on behalf of petitioner and Sri Indrakant Prasad, learned APP for the state. 3. Ld. counsel on behalf of the petitioner Shri Bechan Ray has submitted that Earlier ABP No. 924 /25 was filed on behalf of petitioner which was rejected by this Court and Criminal Misc. No. 8516/26 was filed on behalf of this petitioner before Hon'ble High Court Patna, which was withdrawn due to arrest of petitioner besides that no other bail petition has been filed on behalf of the petitioner either before this Court or before Hon'ble High Court Patna. It is further submitted that petitioner is quite innocent and has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and petitioner has falsely been implicated in this false case and no occurrence did ever took place as alleged by the prosecution. It is also submitted that allegation against the petitioner is false and concocted and after verification of all documents petitioner was appointed and thereafter he joined the school on 13.08.2010. It is also submitted that certificate of petitioner was also verified by Principal, Sharirik Shikshan Mahavidyalya Amravati and found no any irregularities and send report	

Court of Additional Sessions Judge I, Jhanjharpur
B.P. No- 239/2026

Rajendra Paswan Vs. State of Bihar
(Rudrapur P.S Case No- 146/2025)

U/s- 420, 467, 468, 471, 120(b) IPC.

Pending in the Court of Miss. F.N. Fatma JMFC, Jhanjharpur .

Contd.
23.05.2026

vide RSSM/547/13 dated 17.03.2013 and petitioner was performing his duty sincerely since 15 years and certificates of petitioner are true and genuine. It is also submitted that the said marksheet, attached with the FIR was not dully attested by the petitioner, hence no case is made out against the petitioner. Lastly, it is submitted that petitioner is under custody since 30.03.2026 ld. Counsel prayed to enlarge the petitioner on bail.

4. The learned APP **Sri Indrakant Prasad** present on behalf of state opposed the petition and prayed to reject it.
5. The case of the prosecution in short is that as per direction of Hon'ble Patna High Court passed in C.W.J.C. 15459/14, on the basis of Vigilance Inquiry No. B.S. 08/15, this first information report has been instituted against the petitioner accused in short it is stated that the petitioner was appointed as Prakhand Shikshak in employment year 2010 by using CEPD marksheet, seat No. 309, year 1986, getting 844 marks, passed first division and he was working in Madhya Vidyalay, Alpura. In course of inquiry made by Vigilance Department and in verification his marksheet was found forged and fabricated on the basis of the report submitted by Krira Yuvak Seva Sanchalnalay Maharastra, Pune ultimately this case has been instituted against the petitioner accused u/s- 420, 467, 468, 471, 120(b) of the IPC. Hence, this case.
6. Heard both sides at length on this instant bail petition and perused the case record along with case dairy available. From perusal of the record it

Court of Additional Sessions Judge I. Namphavong

S.P. No. 299/2026

Rajendra Paswan Vs. State of Bihar

(Bihar P.S. Case No. 186/2020)

U/s 420, 407, 408, 471, 120(B) IPC

Pending in the Court of Muns. P.N. Panna JWC, Namphavong

**Contd.
29.05.2026**

transpires that on the basis of composite report application given by informant namely Sateendra Ram by S.P. cum. Investigation Officer Vigilance Investigation Bureau, Patna on the basis of order passed in C.W.J.C. No. 15899/2012 with respect to vigilance inquiry no. B.S. 08/15 by Hon'ble High Court Patna this very case has been registered under sections 420, 407, 408, 471, 120(B) of the IPC against the petitioner. From perusal as per allegation the petitioner was appointed as Prakhari Sikkhak in employment year 2010 by using CPED Marksheet, Sheet No. 309 year 1986 getting 844 marks passed in first division and he was working in Middle School Alpura. In course of inquiry, the marksheet of the petitioner accused was found false and fabricated, on the basis of report submitted by Directorate Sports & Youth Service M.S. Pune. Ultimately this case has been lodged against the petitioner. From perusal of the record it also transpires that petitioner is under custody since 30.03.2026 for about two months and save and except this case, no any case is pending against the petitioner and petitioner has got no any criminal antecedent. From perusal of the record it also transpires that occurrence took place on 12.08.2010 but this FIR has been lodged against the petitioner accused on dated 17.11.2025.

7. Therefore, considering the aforesaid facts as well as detention period of the petitioner having clean antecedent, I am inclined to enlarge the petitioner, namely, **Rajendra Paswan** on bail, on furnishing bail bond of **Rs. 10,000/-** with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction



Court of Additional Sessions Judge I, Jhanjharpur

B.P. No- 239/2026

Rajendra Paswan Vs. State of Bihar

(Rudrapur P.S Case No- 146/2025)

U/s- 420, 467, 468, 471, 120(b) IPC.

Pending in the Court of Miss. F.N. Fatma JMFC, Jhanjharpur .

**Contd.
23.05.2026**

of Ld. Court below, with following conditions;

- I. One of the bailors should be the close relatives and another should have sufficient immovable property within territorial jurisdiction of this Court.**
- II. Petitioner and the bailors shall furnish their mobile number at the time of furnishing bail bond and the said mobile number shall be remained in operative mode till disposal of the instant case and petitioner shall co-operate in trial and disposal of the case and shall remain present on each and every date before the trial Court and failure to do so for two consecutive dates, without plausible reason, will entail cancellation of the bail bond by the trial Court.**
- III. Petitioner is restrained from being physical and electronic contact with the informant witnesses and other related persons to the case, in case of violation and breach of the conditions as above, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of his bail bond.**

Dictated and Corrected

