

**In the Court of District & Add. Sessions Judge-II,
Jhanjharpur (Madhubani)**

Anticipatory Bail Petition No.-351/2026

1. Chande Paswan @ Chandeshwar Paswan aged about 39 years S/o Bagru Paswan.
 2. Bihari Paswan @ Parmeswar Paswan aged about 30 years S/o Bagru Paswan.
 3. Mohar Paswan @ Raj Kumar Paswan aged about 29 years S/o Bagru Paswan.
- All R/o Village- Deohar, P.S.- Andhrathadhi, District- Madhubani.

.....Petitioners

Versus

1. State of Bihar through Additional Public Prosecutor.

.....Opposite Party

Anticipatory Bail Petition Under Section 482 B.N.S.S.

For the petitioner/s	:	Shri Divakar Prasad Singh, Advocate
For the O.P. State	:	Shri Dev Shankar Jha, APP

O R D E R

Dated: 08.06.2026

This anticipatory bail petition filed on behalf of the above named petitioners **1. Chande Paswan @ Chandeshwar Paswan, 2. Bihari Paswan @ Parmeswar Paswan & 3. Mohar Paswan @ Raj Kumar Paswan** who are apprehending their arrest in connection with **Andhrathadhi P.S. Case No. 172/2025**, for the offence **under section 115(2), 126(2), 109, 303(2), 351(2), 352, 3(5) of BNS**, which is pending in the court of A.C.J.M.II, Jhanjharpur, is being pressed and heard.

The case of the prosecution in nutshell, on the basis of written application of the informant Urmila Devi is that Vagru Paswan, Chande Paswan, Bihari Paswan, Mohar Paswan, and Sachin Paswan blocked the way to informant house by planting bamboos poles. On 29.12.2025 at about 11:30 AM she requested to open the blocked door, then all the accused persons abused and assaulted her. Chande Paswan cut her forehead by farsa with the intention of killing her. During treatment, she has six stitches. They snatched gold from her nose. Hence, this case.

Learned counsel for the accused petitioners submitted that the petitioners are quite innocent, and have not committed any offence. The petitioners have

no criminal antecedents. The petitioners have been falsely implicated in this case out of malice, grudge, for some ulterior motives, and out of land dispute. Offence u/s 303(2) BNS is super addition, ornamental, and nothing has been recovered from the possession of the petitioners. There is inordinate delay in lodging of the FIR. Accordingly, he prays to grant anticipatory bail to the accused petitioners.

Learned APP had opposed the prayer of anticipatory bail.

Heard both sides, and perused the case diary. From statement of witnesses in para-38 and 39 of case diary, it is apparent that there is a land dispute between sides, over share of land. Injury sustained by injured are simple in nature. Petitioners bear one criminal antecedent. Considering the aforesaid facts as well as discussion made above, the Anticipatory Bail Petition of the petitioners accused namely **Chande Paswan @ Chandeshwar Paswan, Bihari Paswan @ Parmeswar Paswan & Mohar Paswan @ Raj Kumar Paswan** is hereby **allowed**. It is hereby ordered that in the event of surrender or arrest before the court below/concerned police within 30 days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, the petitioners shall be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court below/police subject to the condition under the provision of section 482(2) BNSS.

Dictated

Sd/-

**Addl. Sessions Judge-II,
Jhanjharpur**

Memo No...../2026, Dated:.....

Copy of order forwarded to the court of ACJM-II, Jhanjharpur for information and needful.

**Addl. Sessions Judge-II,
Jhanjharpur**