

**In the Court of District & Add. Sessions Judge-II,
Jhanjharpur (Madhubani)**

Anticipatory Bail Petition No.-345/2026

1. Arvind Kumar Singh aged about 54 years S/o Triveni Singh @ Arjun Singh.
 2. Sushant Kumar Singh @ Sushant Kumar aged about 29 years S/o Arvind Kumar Singh.
 3. Geeta Devi aged about 51 years W/o Arvind Kumar Singh.
- All R/o Village-Kachhubi, PS-Lakhnaur, District-Madhubani.

.....Petitioners

Versus

1. State of Bihar through Additional Public Prosecutor.

.....Opposite Party

Anticipatory Bail Petition Under Section 482 B.N.S.S.

For the petitioner/s	:	Shri Kaushal Kumar, Advocate
For the O.P. State	:	Shri Dev Shankar Jha, APP

ORDER

Dated: 18.05.2026

This anticipatory bail petition filed on behalf of the above named petitioners **1. Arvind Kumar Singh, 2. Sushant Kumar Singh @ Sushant Kumar, & 3. Geeta Devi** who are apprehending their arrest in connection with **Lakhnaur P.S. Case No. 59/2026, G.R. No. 740/2026** for the offence **under section 126(2), 115(2), 117(2), 109, 352, 351(2), 3(5) of BNS** which is pending in the court of A.C.J.M. 1st, Jhanjharpur is being pressed and heard.

The case of the prosecution in nutshell, on the basis of written application of the informant Kumar Avinash Singh is that, on 07.04.2026 at about 7 PM, informant's father telephoned him that the petitioners were abusing and threatening him, and his family members. On the information, informant went to his house, and saw that the accused petitioners were abusing them. On query the accused petitioner Arvind Kumar Singh assaulted him with lathi, and the accused Sushant Kumar Singh and Geeta Devi assaulted his mother Nirmala Devi with axe, lathi, and danda. Other accused persons assaulted the family members of informant. They were injured, and the villagers came there. Later on the injured persons were treated at a hospital. Hence, this case.

Learned counsel for the accused petitioner submitted that the petitioners

are quite innocent, and have not committed any offence. The petitioners have falsely been implicated in the case out of malice, grudge, previous enmity, and out of land dispute. No incident as alleged has taken place. The petitioners have been falsely implicated in this case out of patidari land dispute. The prosecution case is concocted, vague, and indefinite. The petitioner no. 3 is a parda nasin lady. The petitioners have got no criminal history as instructed by the petitioners. The petitioners are major. Accordingly, he prays to grant anticipatory bail to the accused petitioner.

Learned APP had opposed the prayer of anticipatory bail.

Heard the argument and perused the case diary. Petitioners do not bear any criminal antecedent. Both sides are relatives, and have land dispute. Moreover, a joint compromise petition has been filed, and informant/injured had appeared in the Court, and had supported the factum of compromise. In injury report, though the doctor had mentioned physical assault, but had stated that kindly come and do the needful. Regarding the same occurrence, both sides have filed case against each other vide Lakhnaur P.S case no-59/26, and 60/26, respectively.

Considering all these facts and circumstances, I find this to be an apt case for grant of anticipatory bail. Hence, anticipatory bail petition of above named petitioners are **allowed**. It is hereby ordered that in the event of surrender or arrest before the Court below/concerned police within 30 days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, the petitioners shall be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/-(Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court below/police subject to the condition under the provision of section 482(2) BNSS.

Dictated

Sd/-

**Addl. Sessions Judge-II,
Jhanjharpur**

Memo No...../2026, Dated:.....

Copy of order forwarded to the court of A.C.J.M. 1st, Jhanjharpur for information and needful.

**Addl. Sessions Judge-II,
Jhanjharpur**