

**In the Court of District & Add. Sessions Judge-II,
(Jhanjharpur) Madhubani**

Regular Bail Petition No.224/2026

1. Kamal Dev Rai aged about 22 years S/o Hare Ram Rai.
R/o Village- Narayanpur, PS-Bhairavsthan, District-Madhubani.

.....Petitioner

Versus

1. State of Bihar through Additional Public Prosecutor.

.....Opposite Party

Regular Bail Petition Under Section 483 B.N.S.S.

For the petitioner/s : Shri Jay Krishana Yadav, Advocate

For the O.P. State : Shri Dev Shankar Jha, APP

ORDER

Dated: 18.05.2026

This regular bail petition has been filed under section 483 B.N.S.S. on behalf of the petitioner **Kamal Dev Rai** who has been arrested in connection with **Narahiya PS Case No.95/2024, GR No.2031/2024 U/s 137(2), 140(2), 352(2), 3(5) of B.N.S.** which is pending in the court of A.C.J.M. 1st, Jhanjharpur is being pressed and heard.

The case of the prosecution in nutshell, on the basis of fardbeyan of the informant Kalu is that, he is a pickup driver whose vehicle Registration no. is RJ36GA865. That on 18.12.2024 at about 07.00 AM, he left from Siliguri for Jaipur (Rajasthan). His co-driver was with him, whose name is Sikandar Kathhat @ Samir. At about 01:30 PM, both were driving on NH-57 under Narhaiya PS. Then six persons on two motorcycle from behind stopped him, and started abusing. While abusing him, they took his co-driver Sikandar Kathhat @ Samir out of the pickup, and took him on their motorcycle. Hence, this case.

Learned Counsel of petitioner accused has submitted that the petitioner is quite innocent, and has committed no offence. There is no occurrence as alleged has taken place. The total case story is false and concocted. The petitioner is in custody since 06.05.2025. This false case has been lodged against the petitioner due to personal grudge, malice,

spite, and dirty village party politics. The name of the accused is not in the FIR. The name of the accused has been taken only from the confessional statement of co-accused Satendra Kumar @ Sandip Mishra. There is no other criminal antecedent against the petitioner except Bhairavsthan P.S. Case No. 89/2024. Hence, prayed to enlarge petitioner on bail.

The learned APP had opposed the prayer for bail.

Having considered the arguments of counsel for the defence and after thorough scrutiny of entire case record, the court finds that as per the police case diary, in the gun point, the cleaner of the truck was brought to C.S.C center at Araria Sangram, and Rs. 12,000 was withdrawn by his mobile only, as the same amount was forcefully demanded from his family and the family sent the same amount on his UPI account. In the police case diary itself one photograph of the victim, in which he was taken on the gun point was sent to the family members and the print out of the transaction was also annexed with the police case diary. Also, in the confessional statement of the co-accused person stated that the petitioner accused was also involved in this incident. Moreover, petitioner bail was earlier rejected by this Court in B.P-256/25, and by Hon'ble Court in Cr. Misc-53418 of 2025. Therefore, in the light of aforesaid discussion, this Court is not inclined to release the petitioner accused on bail. Hence, the instant bail petition of Kamal Dev Ray is hereby **rejected**.

Dictated

Sd/-

**Addl. Sessions Judge-II,
Jhanjharpur**

Memo No...../2026, Dated:.....

Copy of order forwarded to the court of ACJM-Ist, Jhanjharpur for information and needful.

**Addl. Sessions Judge-II,
Jhanjharpur**