

**In the Court of District & Add. Sessions Judge-II,
Jhanjharpur (Madhubani)**

Anticipatory Bail Petition No.-311/2026

1. Jitendra Kumar Roy aged about 49 years S/o- Narendra Roy.

R/o Village- Thadhi, P.S.- Andharathadhi, District- Madhubani.

.....Petitioner

Versus

1. State of Bihar through Additional Public Prosecutor.

.....Opposite Party

Anticipatory Bail Petition Under Section 482 B.N.S.S.

For the petitioner/s	:	Shri Randhir Kumar Chuadhary, Adv
For the O.P. State	:	Shri Dev Shankar Jha, APP

ORDER

Dated:04.05.2026

This anticipatory bail petition filed under section 482 B.N.S.S. on behalf of the above named petitioner **Jitendra Kumar Roy** who is apprehending his arrest in connection with **Andharathadhi P.S. Case No. 167/2025** for the offence **under section 420, 467, 468, 471, 120-B of IPC** which is pending in the court of A.C.J.M-II, Jhanjharpur is being pressed and heard.

The case of the prosecution in nutshell is that on reviewing the folder provided by the District Programme Officer (Establishment), Madhubani, it was found that the appointed Block Teacher Jitendra Kumar Rai was appointed as Block Teacher in the year 2010, and at present he is posted and working in Middle School, Andhara Bazaar. Regarding the resignation of the said teacher within the amnesty period fixed by the Honorable High Court of Patna, the desired record and posting status of the concerned teacher have been sought through the Vigilance Bureau's letter no. mentioned in the FIR, the report of which is not received. The appointed block teacher had applied for the post of physical teacher in the planning unit of Block Andharathadhi for teacher recruitment in the year 2008. He was appointed at the post of trained on the basis of CTET mark sheet by the Block Development Officer's office's Shapaak 1343 dated 14.08.2010 in Middle School, Andhara Bazaar. Seat No. 668 of

CPED, year 1996, marks 827, category-first, given at the time of appointment by Jitendra Kumar Rai was sent to Kida and Youth Services Directorate, Maharashtra State, Pune. The verification report of the above mentioned appointed block teacher Jitendra Kumar Rai, father Shri Mahendra Rai, Seat No. 668 of CPED, year 1996, marks 827, Beni-Pratham, which was sent for verification, has been received through letter no. 2024 of 7/580 dt 06.05.2025 of Directorate of Sports and Youth Services, Maharashtra State, Pune office, in which the entry in the objection column of the said candidate Jitendra Kumar Rai, father Shri Mahendra Rai, as per the original register of Directorate of Sports and Youth Services, MS Pune, shows that Jitendra Kumar Rai, father Shri Mahendra Rai has failed. As per the reference letter, the mark sheet with serial no. 668 forwarded by you is not in accordance with the records, hence it appears to be fake. Thus, the mark sheet has been found to be fake. Hence, this case.

Learned Counsel of petitioner accused has submitted that the petitioner accused is quite innocent, and has committed no offence. Petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case. He has no criminal antecedents. For that the petitioner has been appointed by the Government authority, and at the time of appointment the petitioner had submitted his educational/training certificates, and other documents which are true, and correct. For that the enquiring authority for some ulterior motive implicated this petitioner in this false case without any impartial enquiry. For that no occurrence as alleged in the F.I.R. has taken place. For that it is essential to examine some relevant documents. For that the informant issued a reminder letter no. 743 dated 08/10/2025 to D.E.O., Madhubani requesting therein to send attested copies of certificates relating to educational certificate, training certificate etc. of the petitioner to examine genuineness of the same in compliance with order of the Hon'ble High Court, Patna passed in C.W.J.C. No.-15459/2014, Ranjit Pandit vs The State of Bihar which is clear from the perusal of annexure -1. For that the informant sent the above letter on 08/10/2025 to the D.E.O., Madhubani, and F.I.R. was lodged on 12.11.2025 which clearly manifests that the informant did not properly examined the documents of the petitioner within the very short period, since he had to reach at Pune (Maharashtra) to examine the certificates of the petitioner. That the petitioner has been terminated from service, and ordered to repay entire amount which he has received from the date of his appointment vide letter no. 894 dated 26-02-

2025 which is clear from the perusal of Annexure no. 02. For that the B.D.O., Andharathadhi sent letter no. 2710 dated 08.12.2012 including the certificates of the petitioner, along with others to the Principal, H.V.P. Mandal Bharatiye Sharirik Shikshan Mahavidyalaya, Amravati (Maharashtra) requesting therein to verify the same, and to send them to him. Accordingly the Principal concerned verified those certificates of the petitioner, and others, comparing with the record of the college, and sent the same to the B.D.O. admitting the certificates of the petitioner, and others, correct vide Ref. No. BSSM/547/2013, dated 07-03-2013 which is clear from the perusal of Annexure no. 03 and 3(A). Accordingly, he prays to grant anticipatory bail to the accused petitioner.

Learned APP had opposed the prayer of anticipatory bail.

Heard the argument and perused the case diary. Heard the argument and perused the case diary. The basis of the instant FIR is letter no. 2024 dated 06/05/2025 of Krida & Yuvak Seva Sanchalak, Pune, Maharashtra, where the petitioner's mark sheet was found to be forged. Witnesses in case diary had stated that petitioner joined Madhya Vidyalay, Andhra Bazar, and was punctual in his duty. From document submitted on behalf of petitioner, it is apparent that at the time of appointment of petitioner, his mark sheet was sent for verification, and his service was confirmed only after verification. Prima facie it appears that petitioner is in service for 16 years, and thereafter mismatch in his certificate has been detected. Petitioner is claiming his certificate as genuine. Petitioner do not bear any criminal antecedent. Considering all these facts and circumstances, this court find this to be an apt case for grant of anticipatory bail. Hence, anticipatory bail petition of petitioner **Jitendra Kumar Roy** is **allowed**. It is hereby ordered that in the event of surrender of arrest before the court below/concerned police within 30 days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, the petitioner shall be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court below/police subject to the condition under the provision of section 482(2) BNSS. Further, with condition that:

- (a) petitioner shall cooperate in the investigation of this case. He shall appear before I.O. of the case/vigilance Department, when he is summoned by them.
- (b) petitioner shall not leave the jurisdiction of Madhubani district, without the permission of investigating officer of the case.

(c) One of the bailor of the petitioner shall be his close relative.

Dictated

Sd/-

**Addl. Sessions Judge-II,
Jhanjharpur**

Memo No...../2026, Dated:.....

Copy of order forwarded to the court of A.C.J.M-II., Jhanjharpur for information
and needful.

**Addl. Sessions Judge-II,
Jhanjharpur**