

**In the Court of District & Add. Sessions Judge-II,
Jhanjharpur (Madhubani)**
Anticipatory Bail Petition No.-310/2026

1. Raj Narayan Ray aged about 47 years S/o Ramdeo Ray.
R/o Village- Jagatpur, P.S.- Phulparas, District- Madhubani.

.....Petitioner

Versus

1. State of Bihar through Additional Public Prosecutor.

.....Opposite Party

Anticipatory Bail Petition Under Section 482 B.N.S.S.

For the petitioner/s	:	Shri Hare Ram Rai, Advocate
For the O.P. State	:	Shri Dev Shankar Jha, APP

ORDER

Dated: 28.04.2026

This anticipatory bail petition filed on 04.04.2026 under section 482 B.N.S.S. on behalf of the above named petitioner **Raj Narayan Ray** who is apprehending his arrest in connection with **Phulparas P.S. Case No. 466/2025** for the offence **under section 420, 467, 468, 471, 120(B) of IPC**, which is pending in the court of A.C.J.M. 2nd, Jhanjharpur is being pressed, and heard.

The prosecution case as per the letter of Satyendra Ram, Dy. S.P.-Cum-Asst. Enquiry Officer, Vigilance Investigation Bureau, Patna is that as per order C.W.J.C. No. 15459/2014 of Hon'ble Hight Court, Patna, he was appointed for enquiry of educational and professional certificate. On perusal of folder provided by DPO (Establishment), Madhubani, he found that petitioner was appointed as a block teacher in 2007, and presently he is posted at Madhya Vidylay, Navtol. That the vigilance department had asked for records regarding the petitioner through vigilance bureau letter no. 566/vig dated 06.08.2025, letter no. 673/vig dated 18/08/25, letter no. 679/vig dated 26/08/2025, and letter no.-686/vig dated 02/09/2025, but the same is still not received. At the time of petitioner's appointment, he had given certificate showing CPED seat No. 839 of 1995 obtained mark 772, 1st Division issued by Krida & Yuvak Seva Sanchalak, Pune, Maharashtra. When

the same was sent to the institute for verification, institute through its letter no. 2024 dated 06/05/2025 had found that as per the original register of Directorate of Sports and Youth service M.S. Pune, the mark sheet forwarded by you as per reference letter is not consistent with the record, and therefore the same appears to be fabricated. Therefore, it appears that the petitioner by forging the mark sheet, had got himself appointed.

Learned counsel for the accused petitioner submitted that the petitioner is quite innocent and has not committed any offence. The prosecution story is maliciously false, concocted, and no occurrence as alleged has taken place. The petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case due to wrongful information, and report. The petitioner has been appointed by the Government authority and at the time of appointment the petitioner had submitted his educational/training certificates and other documents which are true and correct. The informant issued a reminder letter no. 743 dated 08.10.2025 to D.E.O., Madhubani requesting therein to send attested copies of certificates relating to educational certificate, training certificate etc. of the petitioner to examine genuineness of the same in compliance with order of the Hon'ble High Court, Patna passed in C.W.J.C. No. 15459/2014, Ranjit Pandit Vs The State of Bihar. The informant sent the above letter on 08.10.2025 to the D.E.O., Madhubani and FIR was lodged on 12.11.2025 which clearly manifests that the informant did not properly examined the documents of the petitioner within the very short period since he had to reach at Pune (Maharashtra) to examine the certificates of the petitioner. The petitioner has been terminated from service and ordered to repay entire amount which he has received from the date of his appointment vide Letter No. 894 dated 26.02.2025. That the petitioner is ready to face re-verification of the submitted certificates. The petitioner has no criminal antecedent earliere except this case. Accordingly, he prays to grant anticipatory bail to the accused petitioner.

Learned APP had opposed the prayer of anticipatory bail.

Heard the argument and perused the case diary. The basis of the instant FIR is letter no. 2024 dated 06/05/2025 of Krida & Yuvak Seva Sanchalak, Pune, Maharashtra, where the petitioner's mark sheet was found to be forged. Witnesses in case diary had stated that petitioner joined Madhya Vidyalay, Navtol, and was transferred from there in 2011 to Utkramit Madhya

Vidyalaya, Haidarpur. From document submitted on behalf of petitioner, it is apparent that at the time of appointment of petitioner, his mark sheet was sent for verification, and his service was confirmed only after verification. Prima facie it appears that petitioner is in service for 18 years, and thereafter mismatch in his certificate has been detected. Petitioner is claiming his certificate as genuine. As per para-30 of case diary, petitioner do not bear any criminal antecedent. Considering all these facts and circumstances, this court find this to be an apt case for grant of anticipatory bail. It is hereby ordered that in the event of surrender of arrest before the court below/concerned police within 30 days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, the petitioner shall be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court below/police subject to the condition under the provision of section 482(2) BNSS. Further, with condition that:

- (a) petitioner shall cooperate in the investigation of this case. He shall appear before I.O. of the case/vigilance Department, when he is summoned by them.
- (b) petitioner shall not leave the jurisdiction of Madhubani district, without the permission of investigating officer of the case.
- (c) One of the bailor of the petitioner shall be his close relative.

Dictated

**Addl. Sessions Judge-II,
Jhanjharpur**