

**In the Court of District & Add. Sessions Judge-II,
Jhanjharpur (Madhubani)**

Anticipatory Bail Petition No.-271/2026

1. Md. Salamat Kujara aged about 45 years S/o Md. Manjur.
2. Md. Sahil @ Md. Sahid aged about 32 years S/o Md. Salamat.

Both R/o Village-Nagar Parishad Jhanjharpur, Ward No.9, P.S.-
Jhanjharpur, District-Madhubani.

.....Petitioners

Versus

1. State of Bihar through Additional Public Prosecutor.

.....Opposite Party

Anticipatory Bail Petition Under Section 482 B.N.S.S.

For the petitioner/s	:	Shri Shivshankar Prasad, Advocate
For the O.P. State	:	Shri Dev Shankar Jha, APP

ORDER

Dated: 16.05.2026

This anticipatory bail petition filed on behalf of the above named petitioners **1. Md. Salamat Kujara & 2. Md. Sahil @ Md. Sahid** who are apprehending their arrest in connection with **Jhanjharpur P.S. Case No. 32/2026**, for the offence **under sections 126(2), 115(2), 74, 109(1), 352, 351(3), 3(5) of BNS** which is pending in the court of A.C.J.M. 1st, Jhanjharpur, is being pressed and heard.

The case of the prosecution on the basis of written application given by Md. Shamim is that on 21.02.2026 at about 7:30 AM, when he woke up, his neighbours Md. Shahid, Md. Sahil, Md. Salman Kujara, Jahida Begam came. Salamat asked that why your son turned towards our house, and urinated, but he said that he is still a child, how would he know how to turn around, and urinate. On this matter, all the accused persons physically assaulted him with iron rod, and was attacked by a vegetable cutter on him, and his family. The informant's son sustained a head injury with bleeding, and his wife was physically assaulted, and her clothes torn.

Learned counsel for the accused petitioner submitted that the

petitioners are quite innocent, and have not committed any offence. This false case has been lodged due to personal grudge, malice, spite, and also due to village grouping. This case has been lodged by the informant due to old enmity. No specific allegation against the petitioners. Injury report also does not corroborate the story of assault. Nothing incriminating has been recovered from the possession of the petitioners. Accordingly, he prays to grant anticipatory bail to the accused petitioners.

Learned APP had opposed the prayer of anticipatory bail.

Heard the argument, and perused the case diary. From para-21 of case diary, it is apparent that there is a dispute between both sides regarding land of street. Also, it is apparent that regarding the same occurrence, both sides have filed case, and counter case which are Jhanjharpur-32/26, and 33/26, respectively. Meaning thereby that free fight took place. As per injury report in para-33 of case diary, injury sustained by injured is simple in nature, caused by hard-blunt substance. Also, petitioners do not bear any criminal antecedent.

Considering all these facts and circumstances, I find this to be an apt case for grant of anticipatory bail. Hence, anticipatory bail petition of above named petitioners are **allowed**. It is hereby ordered that in the event of surrender or arrest before the Court below/concerned police within 30 days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, the petitioners shall be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/-(Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court below/police subject to the condition under the provision of section 482(2) BNSS.

Dictated

Sd/-

**Addl. Sessions Judge-II,
Jhanjharpur**

Memo No...../2026, Dated:.....

Copy of order forwarded to the court of ACJM-I, Jhanjharpur for information and needful.

**Addl. Sessions Judge-II,
Jhanjharpur**