

**In the Court of District & Add. Sessions Judge-II,
(Jhanjharpur) Madhubani**

Regular Bail Petition No.170/2026

1. Rabindra Yadav aged about 35 years S/o Munshi Yadav.
R/o Village- Suwatol, PS-Andhramath, District-Madhubani.

.....Petitioner

Versus

1. State of Bihar through Additional Public Prosecutor.

.....Opposite Party

Regular Bail Petition Under Section 483 B.N.S.S.

For the petitioner/s	:	Shri Kamlesh Kumar Yadav, Advocate
For the O.P. State	:	Shri Dev Shankar Jha, APP

ORDER

Dated: 15.05.2026

This regular bail petition has been filed under section 483 B.N.S.S. on behalf of the petitioner **Rabindra Yadav** who has been arrested in connection with **Andhramath PS Case No.151/2025, GR No.1683/2025, under section 126(2), 115(2), 118(1), 117(2), 109, 352(2), 341(2), 351(3), 61(2) B.N.S. & 27 Arms Act**, which is pending in the court of S.D.J.M., Jhanjharpur, is being pressed and heard.

The prosecution case in brief on the basis of fardbeyan of Rinku Devi is that on 28.08.2025 at around 8:30 PM, her husband Ashok Yadav had gone out of the house, after irrigating his fields, and after eating food. He went out of the house to toilet. In the mean time, she ran out of the house on hearing the sound of gunshots, abuse, and commotion. Then she saw that her husband Ashok Yadav had been shot in the back, and he was in pain. After that she saw that her villager Jivachh Yadav was running away from there with a pistol in his hand, along with Manish Yadav, Arvind Yadav, Vikash Sah, Ravindra Yadav, and one another boy armed with weapons, and abusing. After which the nearby villagers gathered there, and with their help she took her husband to Laukahi PHC for treatment. He was referred to D.M.C.H., Darbhanga, for better treatment, but seeing her husband's condition worsening, she took him to Paras Hospital in Darbhanga, for treatment, where he is still

undergoing treatment. Due to previous dispute, all the above mentioned people conspired, and shot her husband, with the intention of killing him. Accused came to her house, and threatened her, and her family members.

Learned counsel for the accused petitioner submits that the accused petitioner is innocent, and has not committed any offence. He has no criminal antecedent. The petitioner has been falsely implicated in the above noted case. The prosecution story is false and concocted. There is no specific allegation against the petitioner. Nothing incriminating has been recovered from the possession of the petitioner. The petitioner is in judicial custody since 08.02.2026, and accordingly it has been prayed to grant bail to the accused petitioner.

The learned APP had opposed the prayer for bail.

Heard the argument and perused the record. Allegation against the petitioner is that, he along with other co accused had tried to kill Ashok Yadav by firing gun shots on him. Petitioner is named in FIR. Witnesses in para-8, 9, and 10 of case diary had completely supported the occurrence. In para-3 of case diary it has come out that empty bullet was seized from the place of occurrence. Further injury reports attached with the case record supports the fact that injury sustained by injured is life threatening. Considering the gravity of offence, I am not inclined to enlarge petitioner on bail. Hence, **Rabindra Yadav** regular bail petition is hereby **rejected**.

Dictated

Sd/-

**Addl. Sessions Judge-II,
Jhanjharpur**

Memo No...../2026, Dated:.....

Copy of order with LCR forwarded to the court of SDJM, Jhanjharpur for information and needful.

**Addl. Sessions Judge-II,
Jhanjharpur**