

Court of District & Additional Sessions Judge III, Jhanjharpur
B.P. No- 176/2026
(Araira Sangram P.S Case No- 01/2026 Gr.No.-69/2026)
Santosh Chaupal @ Santosh Kumar Chaupal Vs. State of Bihar
U/s-305(a)/331(4) of BNS

S.N	Date of order	Order with signature	Office notes as to action taken
1	2	3	4
	22.05.2026	1. Regular bail petition has been filed on behalf of the accused in custody namely Santosh Chaupal @ Santosh Kumar Chaupal aged about 45 years S/o- Bhola Chaupal, R/o-Village-Raghunandanpur, PS.- Araria Sangram ,District Madhubani, in connection with Araira Sangram P.S Case No- 01/2026 Gr.No.-69/2026, U/s-305(a)/331(4) of BNS. 2. Heard Sri Ratnesh Kumar, learned counsel for the petitioner and Sri Deo Shankar Jha learned APP for the state. 3. The learned counsel of the petitioner has submitted that no other regular bail petition has been filed earlier on behalf of the petitioner. It has further been submitted that the petitioner is quite innocent, has committed no offence and has falsely been implicated in this case. It has further stated that petitioner has seven criminal antecedent. It has further been stated that there is no any article has been recovered from the exclusive and conscious possession of the petitioner. It has further submitted that the petitioner is in judicial custody since 23.02.2026. It has further been submitted that the petitioner is a local man and he is ready to furnish the satisfactory bailors, if he be enlarged on bail. The learned counsel prays to allow the petition. 4. The learned APP opposed the bail petition and prayed to reject it. 5. The prosecution case in short is that on 11.01.2026 at 1 AM at his resident situated at Village Araria, PS Araria Sangram, Dist. Madhubani, unknown thieves have committed theft in his house, after breaking door lock, and took away 6 bhari gold, five silver coin, and 13,500/- cash. 6. Perused the case record, case diary, submissions of both the parties and other materials available on record and found that there is allegation against the petitioner to commit theft in the house of informant. On further perusal I find that petitioner is not named in the FIR nor anything stolen has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner. Petitioner name had surfaced on the basis of confessional statement of co-accused. Petitioner is in custody since 23.02.2026.	

Court of District & Additional Sessions Judge III, Jhanjharpur
B.P. No- 176/2026
(Aairra Sangram P.S Case No- 01/2026 Gr.No.-69/2026)
Santosh Chaupal @ Santosh Kumar Chaupal Vs. State of Bihar
U/s-305(a)/331(4) of BNS

22.05.2026	7. In the facts and circumstances mentioned above and keeping in view the period of custody, the petitioner Santosh Chaupal @ Santosh Kumar Chaupal is directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10000/- with two sureties of like amount, with an undertaking under section 437 (3) Cr.P.C, to the satisfaction of the learned court concerned and with further condition that the petitioner will not be involved in case of similar nature in future and will co-operate in investigation. <u>Further the petitioner will file bail bond after framing of charge.</u> Dictated and corrected by Anil Kumar Ram District & Additional Sessions Judge III Jhanjharpur	
------------	---	--