

**In the Court of District & Add. Sessions Judge-II,
Jhanjharpur (Madhubani)**
Anticipatory Bail Petition No.-208/2026

1. Tripti Narayan Yadav aged about 50 years S/o Late Nashib Lal Yadav.
2. Ankit Yadav @ Alok Yadav aged about 18 years S/o Tripti Nr. Yadav.
R/o Village-Darah Tole Chatanma, P.S.- Bheja, District-Madhubani.

.....Petitioners

Versus

1. State of Bihar through Additional Public Prosecutor.

.....Opposite Party

Anticipatory Bail Petition Under Section 482 B.N.S.S.

For the petitioner/s	:	Shri Maheshwar Prasad Yadav, Advocate
For the O.P. State	:	Shri Dev Shankar Jha, APP

ORDER

Dated: 27.04.2026

This anticipatory bail petition filed on 27.02.2026 under section 482 B.N.S.S. on behalf of the above named petitioners **1. Tripti Narayan Yadav & 2. Ankit Yadav @ Alok Yadav** who are apprehending their arrest in connection with **Bheja P.S. Case No. 147/2025** for the offence **under section 126(2), 115(2), 117(2), 109, 303(2), 329(2), 352, 351(2), 3(5) of BNS** which is pending in the court of ACJM-2nd, Jhanjharpur is being pressed and heard.

The prosecution case in short is that on 30.10.2025 at about 10:00 o'clock in the morning, the informant was alone at her home. The petitioner along with other co-accused came with lathi, danda, farsa, and sharp weapons, and on the order of co-accused Tripti Nr. Yadav, all accused persons started assaulting and abusing informant. Co-accused Ankit Yadav @ Alok Yadav assaulted the informant by means of farsa, which caused injury on her head, and she fell down. Accused Minu Devi took out her mangalsutra worth Rs. 98,000/- from informant's neck, and Ramanand Yadav threatened to kill. Informant was taken to the Government Hospital, Madhepur. After first aid, she was referred to D.M.C.H for better treatment. Hence, this case.

Learned counsel for the accused petitioner submitted that the

petitioners are quite innocent and have not committed any offence. The petitioners have been implicated in the instant false due to previous grudge, malice, and also due to local party politics. Also, due to land dispute. The case of the prosecution are totally false, concocted, imaginary, improbable, and absurd one, and no occurrence did ever take place as alleged against the petitioners. Nothing have been recovered from the petitioners. There are no material on record which may constitute any alleged offence, or offences against the petitioners. There are two criminal antecedents against the petitioners. Accordingly, he prays to grant anticipatory bail to the accused petitioners.

Learned APP had opposed the prayer of anticipatory bail.

Heard the argument, and perused the case diary. Petitioners bear two criminal antecedents. There is a specific allegation of assault on petitioner Ankit Yadav, on head of informant. Perusal of injury report issued by DMCH, shows that linear fracture left temporal parietal bone with mild overlying scalp swelling. Meaning thereby that injury is serious in nature, and on vital part of body. Moreover, witnesses in para-7, and 8, of case diary had completely supported the occurrence. Considering these facts, this Court is not inclined to grant the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioners. Hence, anticipatory bail petition of petitioners named above is hereby **rejected**.

Dictated

**Addl. Sessions Judge-II,
Jhanjharpur**