

Court of Additional Sessions Judge I, Jhanjharpur
A.B.P. No-192/2026
(Laukaha P.S case No- 163/2025)
Krishna Kumar Gupta Vs. State of Bihar
U/s- 64(1) of the BNS.

S.N	Date of order	Order with signature	Office notes as to action taken
1	2	3	4
	16.04.2026	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. This anticipatory bail petition has been filed on behalf of accused namely Krishna Kumar Gupta, seeking pre-arrest bail in connection with Laukaha P.S case No- 163/2025, U/s- 64(1) of the BNS.2. Heard Sri Bal Krishna Yadav Ld. Advocate on behalf of petitioner and Sri Indrakant Prasad, learned APP for the state.3. Ld. counsel of the petitioner has submitted that earlier no other bail petition has been filed on behalf of this petitioner either before this Court or before Hon'ble High Court Patna and petitioner has no criminal antecedent. It is further submitted that petitioner is quite innocent, has committed no offence and petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case due to personal grudge, malice, spite and dirty village party politics and no occurrence as alleged ever took place. It is also submitted that prosecution story is totally false, absurd and baseless and no case is made out against the petitioner u/s 64(1) of the BNS. Lastly it is submitted that petitioner is apprehending his arrest in this case, and ld. Counsel prays to enlarge the petitioner on anticipatory bail.4. The learned APP opposed the petition and prayed to reject it.5. The prosecution story in brief is that, informant of this case is Gauri Kumari, she has alleged that accused Krishna Kumar Gupta has sexually exploited her in the pretext of marriage, ultimately he denied to marry with her and when she went to Krishna Kumar Gupta's home, all the accused persons named in FIR including this petitioner started abusing	

Court of Additional Sessions Judge I, Jhanjharpur
A.B.P. No-192/2026
(Laukaha P.S case No- 163/2025)
Krishna Kumar Gupta Vs. State of Bihar
U/s- 64(1) of the BNS.

Contd....
16.04.2026

and threatened her. Hence, this case.

6. Heard both sides at length and perused the case record along with case diary. From perusal it transpires that on the basis of written application given by informant victim before SHO Laukaha P.S., this case has been registered under section 64(1) of the BNS against petitioner and others. From perusal it transpires that as per allegation accused petitioner has sexually exploited to the informant victim in the pretext of marriage, ultimately he denied to marry with her. Apart from that the informant victim has also supported the case of the prosecution in her statement recorded u/s 183 of the BNSS and in course of investigation the witnesses have also supported the case of the prosecution in para No. 3, 4, 27, 28 & 29 of the case diary
7. Therefore, considering the facts and discussions made above as well as gravity and nature of offence, I am of the opinion that petitioner does not deserve the privilege of anticipatory bail. Hence, prayer for anticipatory bail on behalf of petitioner namely **Krishna Kumar Gupta**, is hereby **rejected**.

Dictated and Corrected

Sd/-
(Sushil Kumar Dixit)
Addl. Sessions Judge-Ist
Jhanjharpur.

Memo No..... Date.....

Copy of order be sent to the Court of A.C.J.M.-I Jhanjharpur for information and needful.

(Sushil Kumar Dixit)
Addl. Sessions Judge-Ist
Jhanjharpur.

Court of Additional Sessions Judge I, Jhanjharpur
A.B.P. No-192/2026
(Laukaha P.S case No- 163/2025)
Krishna Kumar Gupta Vs. State of Bihar
U/s- 64(1) of the BNS.

	Contd.... 09.04.2026		
--	---------------------------------------	--	--