

Court of Additional Sessions Judge I, Jhanjharpur
A.B.P. No- 171/2026
 (Phulparas P.S case No- 321/2019)
Sharfuddin Ansari Vs. State of Bihar
 U/s- 07 of the Essential Commodities Act.

S.N	Date of order	Order with signature	Office notes as to action taken
1	2	3	4
	11.06.2026	1. This anticipatory bail petition has been filed on behalf of accused namely, Sharfuddin Ansari, seeking pre-arrest bail in connection with Phulparas P.S case No- 321/2019, Gr.No.- 1294/2019, U/s- 07 of the Essential Commodities Act. 2. Heard Sri Om Prakash Poddar Ld. Advocate on behalf of petitioner and Sri Mithilesh Jha, learned Spl. PP for the State. 3. Ld. counsel of the petitioner has submitted that petitioner has not moved for any regular or anticipatory bail before Ld. Sessions Judge or before the Hon'ble High Court, Patna and petitioner has no criminal antecedent. It is further submitted that the nowhere name of this petitioner is mentioned in the FIR except the part when the S.D.O. Phulparas ordered to file a case against certain people including this petitioner and there is no allegations against this petitioner in the whole FIR nor was he present at the place of occurrence, his involvement was not found in any of the sale or purchase done in the godown. It is further submitted that this petitioner has been implicated in the present case only because he used to work for Ansari Brothers but had left the job a year prior to the occurrence as he was a paid servant and only sued to do some menial jobs. It is further submitted that the signature on the agreement is not his and the same has been prepared fraudulently under his name to shield the actual people behind the alleged scam and the petitioner has no concern in any manner. It is also submitted that the petitioner for verification explained all the true facts to the police and the police assured him that his name will be removed but recently the police has been disturbing the petitioner again. Lastly he has submitted that petitioner is apprehending his arrest in this case, and Ld. Counsel prays to allow the anticipatory bail. 4. The learned APP vehemently opposed the petition and prayed to reject it. 5. The prosecution story in brief is that, on the basis written application given by the informant Arjun Kumar, Block Supply Inspector, Laukahi, petitioner accused was running warehouse on rent in which 92 quintal Govt. subsidized rice containing in 184 bags and other items were recovered and 478 bags each containing 50 kg total 239 quintal Govt. subsidized rice were also recovered which was loaded in a truck bearing registration No. UP22AT 0074, total 331	

Court of Additional Sessions Judge I, Jhanjharpur
A.B.P. No- 171/2026
 (Phulparas P.S case No- 321/2019)
Sharfuddin Ansari Vs. State of Bihar
 U/s- 07 of the Essential Commodities Act.

	contd. 11.06.2026	quintal of Govt. subsidized arwa rice in 662 bags were recovered, accordingly seizure list has been prepared separately. Hence, this case. 6. Heard both sides at length and perused the case record along with case diary and seizure list. From perusal of the record it appears that on the basis written application given by the informant Arjun Kumar, Block Supply Inspector, Laukahi before SHO Phulparas P.S., this case has been registered under Section 07 of Essential Commodities Act against against the petitioner and others. From perusal it also transpires that petitioner accused is specifically named and prime accused in FIR and as per allegation petitioner accused were running warehouse on rent in which 92 quintal Govt. subsidized rice of Bihar State Food Supply Department containing in 184 bags and other items were recovered and 478 bags each containing 50 kg total 239 quintal Govt. subsidized rice of the same department were also recovered which was loaded in a truck bearing registration No. UP22AT 0074, total 331 quintal of Govt. subsidized arwa rice in 662 bags of B.S.F.S.D. were recovered, accordingly charge sheet has been submitted against co-accused persons and investigation is shown pending against petitioner accused. Apart from that as per FIR it appears that accused is directly indulged in such illegal storage and transaction of the same for wrongful gain and despite that from perusal of para No. 3,6,7,11,12,13,17 of the case dairy sufficient materials available which shows the involvement of the petitioner in occurrence alleged. 7. Therefore, considering the aforesaid facts, gravity and nature of offence discussed above, I am not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on anticipatory bail accordingly, prayer for anticipatory bail on behalf of petitioner namely, Sharfuddin Ansari is hereby rejected. Dictated and Corrected Sd/- (Sushil Kumar Dixit) Addl. Sessions Judge-Ist Jhanjharpur. Memo No..... Date..... Copy of order along with LCR be sent to the Court of Ld. S.D.J.M., Jhanjharpur for information and needful. (Sushil Kumar Dixit) Addl. Sessions Judge-Ist Jhanjharpur.	
--	----------------------	--	--

Court of Additional Sessions Judge I, Jhanjharpur
A.B.P. No- 171/2026
(Phulparas P.S case No- 321/2019)
Sharfuddin Ansari Vs. State of Bihar
U/s- 07 of the Essential Commodities Act.

--	--	--	--