

**Court of Additional Sessions Judge I, Jhanjharpur**  
**B.P. No-106/2026**  
( Phulparas P.S case No- 58/2021 GR.No.- 171/2021)  
**Ugan Yadav @ Chandra Kishor Yadav Vs. State of Bihar**  
U/s- 341, 323, 354(A), 354(B), 379, 504, 506, 308, 34 of the IPC.

S.N	Date of order	Order with signature	Office notes as to action taken
1	2	3	4
1	<b>13.03.2026</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. This regular bail petition has been filed on behalf of accused namely <b>Ugan Yadav @ Chandra Kishor Yadav</b>, who is under custody since 17.01.2026, in connection with Phulparas P.S case No- 58/2021 GR.No.- 171/2021, U/s- 341, 323, 354(A), 354(B), 379, 504, 506, 308, 34 of the IPC.</li> <li>2. Heard Sri Vijay Kumar Yadav, Ld. Advocate, on behalf of petitioner and Sri Indrakant Prasad, learned APP for the state.</li> <li>3. Ld. counsel of the petitioner has submitted that earlier no other bail petition has been filed on behalf of petitioner, either before this Court or before Hon'ble High Court Patna. It is further submitted that petitioner has three criminal antecedent and is quite innocent, has not committed any offence. It is further submitted that no occurrence as alleged has taken place and petitioner has falsely been implicated in this false case due to personal grudge, malice and political village party politics and pattidari land dispute. It is also submitted that no case is made out against the petitioner U/s 308, 354(B) &amp; 379 of the IPC and nothing has been recovered from the possession of the petitioner and story of theft is ornamental. It is also submitted that petitioner's father and informant's grandfather are full brothers and there is a land dispute in between the parties. Lastly it is submitted that petitioner is in judicial custody since 17.01.2026. The learned counsel prayed to enlarge the petitioner on bail.</li> <li>4. The learned APP present on behalf of state opposed the petition and prayed to reject it.</li> <li>5. The prosecution story in brief is that informant of this case is Parshu Ram Yadav, he has alleged that on 16.01.2021 at 05:00 AM when his wife went for natural call, Subhash Yadav and Manoj Yadav grabbed her and tried to do some bad incident, on protest they threatened to kill by showing pistol and on listening hall when informant and his son went there to rescue, they also abused the informant and accused called other accused persons named in FIR, who were armed with deadly weapons and Hari Shankar Yadav and Maheshwar Yadav assaulted over informant's head by means of iron rod, resulted he became unconscious and fell down after that Vinod Yadav and Ranjan Yadav assaulted by means of iron rod, which hit over his nose, resulted blood oozing from his nose, after that accused snatched her wife's ornaments. Hence, this</li> </ol>	

**Court of Additional Sessions Judge I, Jhanjharpur**  
**B.P. No-106/2026**  
( Phulparas P.S case No- 58/2021 GR.No.- 171/2021)  
**Ugan Yadav @ Chandra Kishor Yadav Vs. State of Bihar**  
U/s- 341, 323, 354(A), 354(B), 379, 504, 506, 308, 34 of the IPC.

<p><b>Contd.</b> <b>13.03.2026</b></p>	<p>case.</p> <p>6. Heard both side at length and perused the case record along with case diary and injury report. From perusal of the record it transpires that, on the basis of fardbyan made by the informant before Police Officer, this very case has been registered U/s- 341, 323, 354(A), 354(B), 379, 504, 506, 308, 34 of the IPC., against this petitioner and other accused persons. From perusal of the record it also transpires that petitioner accused is named in FIR but as far as allegation of assault is concerned, there is no any specific allegation of assault against the petitioner accused, rather the allegation of assault is against Hari Shankar Yadav, Mahesh Yadav, Vinod Yadav and Ranjan Yadav, who are not the petitioner. Apart from that the petitioner is under custody since 17.01.2026 for about two months.</p> <p>7. Therefore, considering the aforesaid facts as discussed above, as well as no any specific allegation of assault and detention period of the petitioner, I am inclined to enlarge the petitioner, namely <b>Ugan Yadav @ Chandra Kishor Yadav</b>, on bail, on furnishing bail bond of <b>Rs. 10,000/- with two sureties</b> of the like amount to the satisfaction of Ld. Court below with following conditions;</p> <p><b>I. That one of the bailors shall be Mother/Father/ own/close relative of the petitioner.</b></p> <p><b>II. The petitioner shall file an undertaking that he will not indulged himself in similar type of offence or any offence in future.</b></p> <p><b>III. That the petitioner shall not tamper or hamper adduce, threat or promise to the witnesses of the case and will co-operate in investigation and trial.</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Dictated and Corrected Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Sushil Kumar Dixit) Addl. Sessions Judge-Ist Jhanjharpur.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Memo No.....Dated.....</p> <p>Copy of order be sent to the court of Ld. ACJM- II Jhanjharpur, for information &amp; needful.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Sushil Kumar Dixit) Addl. Sessions Judge-Ist Jhanjharpur.</p>	
--	---	--

**Court of Additional Sessions Judge I, Jhanjharpur**

**B.P. No-106/2026**

( Phulparas P.S case No- 58/2021 GR.No.- 171/2021)

**Ugan Yadav @ Chandra Kishor Yadav Vs. State of Bihar**

U/s- 341, 323, 354(A), 354(B), 379, 504, 506, 308, 34 of the IPC.

--	--	--	--