

in the court of civil judge (S.D.) - II, UKR

T.S.-177/19

19-04-23 पीठाने पठाविकाये आवकाय पर 119
~~वाही की वकालत हाजिरी की जाती~~
~~पूर्वलिखित को पामन करे~~
वाह दिनांक 01.05.25 पारते सुनवाई
नेखापित

J.C.J.-(S.D.)-II, UKR

01-05-23 वाही की ओर से वकालत हाजिरी है
वाही पर भेजित आवकन दि. 19-04-23
पर सुनवाई करे
वाह दिनांक 02.05.2025 पारते सुनवाई
नेखापित

C.J.-(S.D.)-II, UKR

02/05/25

The present record is put up for ex parte proceeding against all the defendants vide Order dt. 08/02/23. But in the Order 14/09/23, it has been directed to the plaintiff to file requisite of summons and registered summons for appearance of substituted defendants. It has been directed/ordered by mistake as the said substitution was done regarding plaintiff Jhardan Singh whose name was deleted and his legal heir was substituted in his place.

Besides, On 19/04/24, the plaintiff had filed the petition u/06 R17, C.P. and the record was put up for its rejoinder. As the proceeding is ex parte, there is no need for filing its rejoinder.

Contd....

T.S. - 17/1/29

...Contd
02/05/25

It is so because the defendants did not appear after due service of summons. So, the record is put up today for hearing on the said petition of the plaintiff dt. 19/04/2024 allowing the plaintiff's petition filed today for it. The plaintiff is in attendance and submits that she is seeking amendment in 4th, 5th & 6th lines of plaintiff's para 03 by which she wanted to add the names of all Khatiyani Raiyat's father's names along with them. Due to mistake of the typist, it has been left and it is necessary to be added. Such amendments also do not change the nature of the suit. So, she prays for it.


Case-record. Heard. Perused the case-record. On perusal, this court finds that the name of the father of Khatiyani Raiyat Parau Singh, Tanki Singh, Baiju Singh, Banshi Singh and Bajrangji i.e. Raja Singh 05 share and equal share to each; the name of Kirat Singh, father of Parmeshwar Singh and Sheikhu Singh 01 share and equal share to each; the name of Pachkauri Singh, father of Pachu Singh &

Contd...

Contd.
02/05/25

Bhujangi Singh, 01 share and equal to each in the 04th, 5th & 06th lines of the para-3 of the plaint is left by the mistake of the typist along with the words "Kaum Vagman Bhumihar, Saakin-Deh". Here, the plaintiff seeks this said amendment in the said para of the plaint which is necessary to be done. It also does not change the nature of the suit. It is simple in nature. Hence, the said petition of the plaintiff is allowed and be directed to amend in the plaint to that extent only.

Put up on 26-05-25 for further proceeding.


C.J. (Sr. DM) - TV