

COURT OF CIVIL JUDGE (Senior Division)
Barsoi, Katihar
Title Suit No. 28/2025

Hira Lal Paswan.....Plaintiff.

Vrs.

Anita Jha & Others.....Defendants.

Date of Order of Proceeding	Order with the Signature of the Court	Office action taken with date
03.06.2026	Attendance has been filed on behalf of the plaintiff and Intervener-petitioner through their Ld. counsel. Both the defendants have appeared in this case with power of the advocate seeking time to file written statement which is allowed. Case is posted for hearing on petition dated 22.12.2025 filed by intervener-petitioner Ranjo Devi u/o 1 Rule 10(2) of CPC to add her name in the suit as intervener-defendant, to which rejoinder dated 04.05.2026 has been filed by the plaintiff. Heard the Ld. Counsel of both sides. Perused the record. The case of the intervener-petitioner is that Anita Jha the defendant No. 1 was the rightful owner of the land in suit which she had purchased from the rightful owner and she was also paying rent to the Government of Bihar. That on 23.11.2024 the defendant No. 01 Anita Jha executed unregistered bainanama agreement in favour of the intervener Ranjo Devi to sale out the land in suit to the petitioner and subsequently after receiving the consideration amount, the defendant No. 01 Anita Jha executed registered sale-deed No. 8180 dated 07.05.2025 in favour of the intervener-petitioner Ranjo Devi regarding the land in suit. It is also mentionable here that that defendant No. 02 Om Kumar Jha who is the son of the Anita Jha was also the witness in bainanama agreement. Suddenly on 08.11.2025 the plaintiff of this suit namely Hira Lal Paswan has dispossessed the	

COURT OF CIVIL JUDGE (Senior Division)

Barsoi, Katihar

Title Suit No. 28/2025

intervener Ranjo Devi with his brute force. The intervener went to the house of the defendant No. 01 Anita Jha and requested her to deliver possession from Hira Lal Paswan but the defendant No. 01 told the petitioner that Hira Lal Paswan has filed Title Suit No. 28/2025 in the Court of Sub-judge Barsoi on the basis of the false and fabricated agreement in collusion of the defendant No. 02. The intervener-petitioner has valid, right, title interest over the suit land and it is highly essential to proceed the suit in proper manner.

The Ld. counsel of the plaintiff opposed the petition of the intervener-petitioner without any substantial reason. In the light of above facts the intervener-petitioner is made defendant No. 3 in the suit and is directed to file his written statement and counter-claim if any by 15.07.2026

Dictated

Civil Judge (Sr. Div.)

COURT OF CIVIL JUDGE (Senior Division)

Barsoi, Katihar

Title Suit No. 28/2025
