

IN THE COURT OF DISTRICT & ADDL. SESSIONS JUDGE-III, KATIHAR
BAIL PETITION NO. 563/2026
(Arising out of Azamnagar P.S. Case No. 224/2026)

Arman Ali

... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

... Opposite Party

Ld. Counsel for the Petitioner:-
Ld. Counsel for the State :-

Sri Md. Eshanul Haque, Advocate
Sri Shiv Narayan Singh, APP

Date of hearing/Disposal: 03.07.2026

ORDER

A regular bail petition has been filed on behalf of the accused petitioner namely Arman Ali, who is in jail custody since 06.05.2026 in connection with Azamnagar P.S. Case No. 224/2026 for the offence registered U/S 317(2), 317(4), 317(5) of the B.N.S. The copy of the same has already been duly served to the Ld. Addl. P.P. for the State.

Heard the Ld. counsel for the petitioner and the learned A.P.P. for the State.

The Ld. counsel of the petitioner has submitted that no B.P. or A.B.P. on behalf of the accused petitioner has been filed or moved earlier before this court or before the Hon'ble High Court, Patna. The petitioner is quite innocent and has committed no offence and he has been falsely implicated in this case due to suspicion. That the petitioner is arrested on the spot and nothing incriminating article has been recovered from his possession. The petitioner is languishing in judicial custody since 06.05.2026. Petitioner is local man and no chance of his absconding. The petitioner is ready to furnish local and solvent bailors to the satisfaction of the court. Accordingly, prayed to grant bail.

On the other hand, the Ld. Addl. P.P. vehemently opposed the prayer of bail filed on behalf of the above named accused petitioner and submitted that the petitioner has committed the alleged offence under well planned manner. Hence, it is requested to reject the petition of the above named accused petitioner.

The case of the prosecution, in brief, is that on 05.05.2026, acting on credible information, the police conducted a raid at the house of Md. Arman Ali. During the search, 22 mobile phones (11 smartphones and 11 keypad phones) suspected to be stolen were recovered. The accused failed to produce any valid documents regarding their possession. The mobile phones were seized after due legal formalities and the seizure proceedings were videographed through App. Hence, the present case.

Heard rival submission of the Ld. counsel on behalf of the accused petitioner and the Ld. Add.P.P. for the State and perused the C.D. From perusal of the same, it transpires that the present Azamnagar P.S. Case No. 224/2026 has been registered U/S 317(2), 317(4), 317(5) of the B.N.S. against the accused person. Petitioner is named accused of the F.I.R. A total of 22 mobile phones (11 smartphones and 11 keypad phones) suspected to be stolen, were recovered from his possession. The petitioner failed to produce any valid documents regarding the ownership of lawful possession of the recovered mobile phones. There is huge recovery of 22 mobile phones. The petitioner has no criminal history but the nature of allegations indicates the petitioner's involvement in dealing with stolen property. The offences are serious in nature. The investigation is still pending.

Hence, considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances and discussions made hereinabove and keeping in mind the seriousness of accusation and gravity of offences, I am not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail. Accordingly, prayer for bail of the above named accused petitioner stands **rejected**. However, he may renew his prayer of bail after completion of investigation.

(Sd/-)

(Sunil Kumar Singh-III)
D.A.S.J.- III, Katihar
03.07.2026