

33 CC NI ACT / 2649/2026 Dcb Bank Limited Vs. KRISHNA KUMAR GOYAL
35 CC NI ACT / 2651/2026 Dcb Bank Limited Vs. PRAMOD KUMAR
36 CC NI ACT / 2652/2026 Dcb Bank Limited Vs. MOHD FIROZ
37 CC NI ACT / 2653/2026 Dcb Bank Limited Vs. SHIVSATYANARAYAN KAURAV
38 CC NI ACT / 2654/2026 Dcb Bank Limited Vs. NEELESH KUMAR GUPTA
39 CC NI ACT / 2655/2026 Dcb Bank Limited Vs. JOKHI RAM
40 CC NI ACT / 2656/2026 Dcb Bank Limited Vs. FATEH SINGH MEENA
41 CC NI ACT / 2657/2026 Dcb Bank Limited Vs. MANISH KUMAR
42 CC NI ACT / 2658/2026 Dcb Bank Limited Vs. RAMAN KUMAR
43 CC NI ACT / 2659/2026 Dcb Bank Limited Vs. Vajeed Ahmad
44 CC NI ACT / 2660/2026 Dcb Bank Limited Vs. PRAVIN KUMAR GUPTA
45 CC NI ACT / 2661/2026 Dcb Bank Limited Vs. LEKHRAJ PATEL
46 CC NI ACT / 2662/2026 Dcb Bank Limited Vs. AMRJEET KAUR

27.04.2026

Fresh case received by way of assignment. It be checked and registered as per rules.

Present: Sh. Surender Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the complainant.

This is a fresh case.

In the light of recent judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in "Sanjabij Tari v. Kishore S. Borcar & Anr., 2025 LiveLaw (SC) 952", there shall be no requirement to issue summons to the accused in terms of Section 223 of BNSS i.e., at the pre-cognizance stage. Hence, appearance of proposed accused is hereby dispensed with.

Accordingly, arguments heard on point of summoning.

Following the law laid down in A. C. Narayanan Vs. State of Maharashtra & Anr. (2014) 11 SCC 790 and in view of complaint; documents produced with respect to return of cheque on dishonourment and service of legal demand notice; and verification in the form of affidavit of evidence, there are sufficient grounds for proceeding further against accused for offence punishable under Section 138 NI Act.

Let summons be issued to the accused on filing of PF/RC/AD/ speed post, returnable on next date. Complainant is directed to file PF and take steps within one month including providing copy(ies) of complaint and related documents. Ahlmad is directed to issue the summons only after ensuring that the same has been done. The complainant is also apprised of the fact that at the time of filing first PF, he is required to fill the mandatory NI Act Complaint Meta Data. Service be also affected dasti as well as on the email, WhatsApp message(s) and text message of accused person(s), as provided by the complainant and further subject to filing of affidavit of service.

As per the guidelines laid down in the case titled as Damodar S. Prabhu Vs. Sayed Babalal H, (2010) 5 SCC 663 and “Sanjabij Tari v. Kishore S. Borcar & Anr., 2025 LiveLaw (SC) 952”, the accused is at liberty to file an application for compounding of the offence on the first or second date of hearing itself without imposition of cost. However, failure to do the same and seeking compounding at a later stage will entail additional cost. Same be mentioned on the summons.

Put up for appearance of accused, grant of bail and framing of notice on **28.09.2026**.

Considering the facts on record, it appears that there is scope of settlement between the parties, therefore, present matter is referred to upcoming Lok Adalat which is scheduled for 12.09.2026.

Notice to parties be issued accordingly.

It is further clarified that in case the present case is not settled either due to non appearance or due to any other reason, the matter will be put up for further proceedings **28.09.2026**.

(Navdeep Gupta)
JMFC (NI Act-02)/Central
THC/Delhi/27.04.2026