

IN THE COURT OF DISTRICT & ADDL. SESSIONS JUDGE-III, KATIHAR
ANTICIPATORY BAIL PETITION NO. 666/2026
(Arising out of Nagar Katihar P.S. Case No. 509/2026)

Navnit Kumar Shukla

... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

... Opposite Party

Ld. Counsel for the petitioner:-
Ld. Counsel for the State :-

Miss. Neha Soni, Advocate
Sri Shiv Narayan Singh, APP

Date of hearing/Disposal: 06.07.2026

ORDER

An anticipatory bail petition has been filed on behalf of the accused petitioner namely (1) Navnit Kumar Shukla, who is apprehending his arrest in connection with Nagar Katihar P.S. Case No. 509/2026 for the offence registered U/S 316(2), 318(4) of the B.N.S. The copy of the same has already been duly served to the Ld. Addl. P.P. for the State.

Heard the Ld. counsel for the petitioner and the learned A.P.P. for the State.

The Ld. counsel of the petitioner has submitted that no B.P. or A.B.P. on behalf of the accused petitioner have been filed or moved earlier before this court or before the Hon'ble High Court, Patna. The petitioner is quite innocent and has committed no offence and he has been falsely implicated in this case. That the petitioner has no any criminal history. That petitioner is serving as the Secretary of Shiksha Niketan, Binodpur, Katihar. That the petitioner is reputed person of the society. That the petitioner has not done anything wrong and nothing illegal has been committed by him. The petitioner is local man and no chance of their absconding. The petitioner is ready to furnish local and solvent bailors to the satisfaction of the court. Accordingly, prayed to grant bail.

On the other hand, the Ld. Addl. P.P. vehemently opposed the prayer of A.B.P. filed on behalf of the above named accused petitioner and submitted that the petitioner have committed the alleged offence under well planned manner. Hence, it is requested to reject the petition of the above named accused petitioner.

The case of the prosecution, in brief, is that the reimbursement claims submitted by Shiksha Niketan, Binodpur, Katihar were found to be false during inquiry. Verification with the parents revealed that fees had been collected from the students despite claiming reimbursement under the Right to Education Act, 2009. It is alleged that the school furnished false information to the department with the intention of obtaining government funds illegally. Hence, the present case.

Heard rival submission of the Ld. counsel on behalf of the accused petitioner and the Ld. Add.P.P. for the State and perused the C.D. From perusal of the same, it transpires that the Nagar Katihar P.S. Case No. 509/2026 has been registered U/S 1316(2), 318(4) of the B.N.S. against the accused person. Petitioner is named accused in the F.I.R. However, from the perusal of details, it appears that government has not disbursed the claimed amount till now. Moreover, there is not any material that from which child and in which year, the petitioner obtained fee. In this respect, there is not any statement either of the student or of their parents. The petitioner is ready to co-operate into investigation and there is not any criminal antecedent. There is no chance of absconding or tampering with the evidence.

Hence, considering the above facts and circumstances of the case, the present anticipatory bail petition of the above named accused petitioner is hereby **allowed** and the petitioner in the event of his arrest or surrender **within four (4) weeks of this order**, shall be admitted to bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the court.

(Sd/-)

(Sunil Kumar Singh-III)
D.A.S.J.- III, Katihar
06.07.2026