

IN THE COURT OF ADDL. SESSIONS JUDGE-II DISTRICT-KATIHAR

(Bail Petition No. 456 of 2026)

In the matter of

1. Dara Thakur, aged about- 32 years, S/o Dhirendra Thakur

R/O- Vill- Ghordah, P.S.-Salmari, Distt.- Katihar

-----Accused/Petitioner.

Vs

State of Bihar-----

-----Opposite Party.

Katihar (Sahayak) P.S. case No.- 372/2026

U/S- 137(2), 87, 303(2), 352 of the B.N.S.

Learned advocate for Petitioner- Shri Shobha Kant Mishra

Learned A.P.P. for the State- Shri Md. Ajaz Alam

ORDER

19.05.2026 This petition for regular bail has been filed on the behalf of the accused namely Dara Thakur who is in custody since 05.04.2026 in connection with Katihar (Sahayak) P.S. Case No. 372/2026 instituted U/S- 137(2), 87, 303(2), 352 of the B.N.S..

Heard the learned counsel Shri Shobha Kant Mishra appearing on the behalf of the petitioner and learned A.P.P. Shri Md. Ajaz Alam appearing on the behalf of the State and perused the lower court record along with case diary.

According to F.I.R., prosecution case in nutshell is that informant to this case namely Ritesh Kumar lodged a written report before S.H.O. Town alleging therein that his marriage was solemnized on dated 19.04.2021 with Babita Devi and after said marriage his wife was blessed with one male child. Informant has further alleged that his wife was in talking terms with some boys and on being asked she used to tell that she talks with her relatives. His wife always used to quarrel with his mother as well as with him. Informant has further alleged that the mobile no. of Babita Kumari was 9210873011 and she had a connection with one Deepak Kumar. It is alleged that on dated 25.03.2026 at about 03:00 O'clock she went out with son in the name of making Adhar Card but she did not return back then informant started searching her but she could not be traced out. Informant has further alleged that during the search it came to know that three lakhs rupees in cash several golden and silver ornaments along with Lahnga, Chunari she had gone away with Deepak Kumar.

It would be pertinent to mention here that during the investigation accused-petitioner was arrested and on being asked he disclosed his name to be Dara Thakur and

further disclosed that he loves to the Babita Kumari and he was going to Nepal with Babita Devi.

On the other side learned counsel for the petitioner has reiterated all the grounds taken in the bail petition and has submitted that the petitioner is quite innocent and has committed no offence at all. He has further submitted that the petitioner is not named in the F.I.R. and no allegation has been made out against the petitioner. He has further submitted that nothing has been recovered from the possession of the petitioner and the victim ladies recovered by police and she also nothing speak against the petitioner. He has further submitted that accused-petitioner has got no bad antecedent and accused-petitioner is in custody since 05.04.2026. Accordingly, prayer has been made to allow the bail petition.

Per contra, learned A.P.P. appearing for the state assisted by the learned counsel appearing for the informant has opposed the prayer for bail.

Heard both parties at length, perused the entire case record, from perusal of the case record it is evident that admittedly the age of Babita Devi is 24 years and in her statement recorded u/s 183 of the B.N.S. she has clearly stated that she herself went to Noida with accused-petitioner and she has not levelled any type of allegation against the petitioner. Further accused-petitioner is in custody since 05.04.2026.

So having consideration the above facts the bail petition is hereby allowed and it is ordered to release the petitioner namely Dara Thakur, on bail on furnishing of bail bond of Rs. 10,000 with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the court.

A.S.J.-II
Katihar

Date of Order	19.05.2026
Date of Reserving Order	N/A
Uploading Date	27.05.2026
Uploaded By	Prakash Kumar Singh, Steno D.A.S.J.-II, Katihar