

In the Court of District & Additional Sessions Judge-V
A.B.P. No. 615 of 2026
Nagar (Sahayak) PS case no. 398/2026
PO- Dwijendra Kumar

1. Shankar Paswan, aged about 50 years, S/o- Late Bishundev Paswan
 2. Vishal Paswan, aged about 20 years, S/o- Shankar Paswan
 3. Janak Paswan, aged about 65 years, S/o- Late Isar Paswan
 4. Nishkarma Devi @ Visho Devi, aged about 60 years, W/o- Janak Paswan
 5. Shobha Devi, aged about 35 years, W/o- Shankar Paswan
- All are R/O- Near T.V. Tower Harijan Tola, PS- Katihar
Sahayak, District- Katihar

.....Petitioners

Versus

The State of Bihar

.....Opposite Party

Ld. Counsel for the Petitioner:- Sri Santosh Kumar Sah, Adv.
Ld. Counsel for the State:- Sri Yugal Kishore Yadav, APP.

Date of hearing/Disposal: 27-05-2026

Order

1. Anticipatory bail petition has been filed on behalf of above named petitioners who are apprehending their arrest in connection with Nagar (Sahayak) PS Case no. 398/2026 u/s 126(2), 115(2), 76, 303(2), 352, 351(2)(3), 3(5) of BNS.

2. The Ld. Counsel for the petitioners has also filed his bail petition stating there in that petitioners are quite innocent having no criminal history and have falsely been implicated in the present case due to bonafide land dispute and some ulterior motive. It was further submitted that the case has been lodged by the informant to save the skin/neck from the petitioner's case. Accordingly prayed for grant of bail.

3. Ld. Counsel for the State while opposing the bail petition submitted that there are allegation against petitioners to assault the informant and her

In the Court of District & Additional Sessions Judge-V

A.B.P. No. 615 of 2026

Nagar (Sahayak) PS case no. 398/2026

PO- Dwijendra Kumar

Continued.... family members with the intention to kill. Therefore they do not deserved
27.05.2026 to be released on bail.

4. Heard and perused the case record from perusal it is evident that this case has been lodged u/s 126(2), 115(2), 76, 303(2), 352, 351(2)(3), 3(5) of BNS. There is case and counter case between both the parties. Injury reports mentioned in para 37, 38, and 39 of the case diary shows that the nature of injuries are simple in nature caused by blunt injury. Petitioners do not have criminal history mentioned in para 57 of the case diary except petitioner no. 2 Vishal Paswan who has only one criminal history. Therefore considering the above facts and circumstances of the case discussed above, I find it proper to **Allow this Anticipatory Bail Petition**. Petitioners are directed to be released in case of their arrest/surrender within the period of four weeks from the date of receiving this order in the Court Concerned on their furnishing bail bonds of **Rs. 10,000/- with two sureties** like amount each to the satisfaction of Court concerned subject to condition that :-

One of the bailors must be a close relative of the petitioners.

sd/-

Dwijendra Kumar
District & Addl. Sessions Judge-V
Katihar