

Present: Sandeep Mishra
In the court of District and Additional Sessions Judge-I, Katihar
Bail Application 392 of 2026
(Arising out of Manihari P.S. case No. 14 of 2026)
Md. Fantu alias Aryan Singh versus State

1. Md. Fantu alias Aryan Singh, aged 28 years, son of Md. Abbas, resident of Raghunichak, P.S.-
Mansahi, District- Katihar. ... Petitioner

versus

The State of Bihar

...Opposite party

Date	Order	Remark
20.04.2026	1. This is an application for grant of regular bail filed on behalf of the accused petitioner namely Md. Fantu alias Aryan Singh who is in custody since 28.01.2026 in connection with Manihari PS Case no. 14 of 2026 registered under sections 126(2), 115(2), 329(3), 75, 76, 78, 352, 351(2) and 3(5) of Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita. Now chargesheet has been submitted against the accused - petitioner under sections 126(2), 115(2), 329(3), 75, 76, 78, 352, 351(2) and 3(5) of Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita. 2. The victim herself is the informant of this case. According to the First Information Report, case of the prosecution is that the accused petitioner who is the Director of GAP Institute and Technology Welfare Trust was torturing the informant and other girls mentally for the last several months. The informant used to work in the aforesaid GAP Institute of Technology and Welfare Trust. The petitioner used to sent obscene messages on the mobile of the informant for establishing physical relation with the informant forcibly. Further case of the informant that the petitioner used to oust all the male employees so that he may talk with girls. On 14.01.2026 at about 4 to 5 P.M., when the informant went to GAP Institute, the	

Present: Sandeep Mishra
In the court of District and Additional Sessions Judge-I, Katihar
Bail Application 392 of 2026
(Arising out of Manihari P.S. case No. 14 of 2026)
Md. Fantu alias Aryan Singh versus State

<u>continued</u> 20.04.2026	accused petioer namely Md. Fantu alias Aryan Singh pressurised the informant for committing immoral act. Thereafter, the informant raised alarm and Raushan Paswan and Kisan Paswan and others went to the informant and saved her. It is the further case of the prosecution that the petitioner used to try to establish physical relation with the informant and other girls. The petitioner also used to take away the informant and other girls to Nepal on the pretext of taking them to Purnea and in Nepal also, the petitioner forced the informant to consume wine and tried to commit rape with the informant. The informant has got suspicion that the petitioner is involved in several immoral acts. Further, the accused petitioner is a muslim boy and also married whereas he had disclosed his name as Aryan Singh. On 14.01.2026, when the informant was going to lodge case, the accused petitioner along with 8 others came to the home of the informant on 15.01.2025 and threatened to kill the informant and her family. On 16.01.2026, the brother of the accused petitioner gave threatening on mobile that if the informant will lodge case, the fabricated photo and video of the informant will be viralled. 3. It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that prior to this bail application, the petitioner had filed a bail application being BP no. 129 of 2026 which was disposed of by this court with the observation that if so advised, the petitioner may	
--------------------------------	--	--

Present: Sandeep Mishra
In the court of District and Additional Sessions Judge-I, Katihar
Bail Application 392 of 2026
(Arising out of Manihari P.S. case No. 14 of 2026)
Md. Fantu alias Aryan Singh versus State

continued
20.04.2026

renew his prayer for grant of regular bail after submission of the chargesheet (if chargesheet is submitted against him). Thereafter, the petitioner filed Criminal Miscellaneous no. 19284 of 2026 before the Hon'ble High Court Patna which has been disposed of with the liberty to the petitioner to avail the opportunity which has been given to the petitioner by this court. No other bail application has been filed on behalf of the petitioner. The petitioner has got no criminal antecedent. There is one criminal antecedent of the petitioner Vide Case no. 157 of 2025. The petitioner has been carrying same institution in the name and style of GAP Institute and the petitioner happens to be the director of the said institute. There is one Secretary named Amir Khan, one treasurer named Md. Wasim and four other members. Petitioner along with secretary and treasurer and with other members constituted the institute and got registered from Government of Bihar dated 15.05.2025 and since then they have been working in the field of education, health and wellness along with giving consultancy to get admission aiding students to get admission in different professional courses in different colleges. The petitioner have been carrying the said institute and after the publication of the employment notice lots of the candidates appeared for the interview and amongst them, 9 candidates selected by the board and the selected candidates appointed by the authority and all those appointed workers started their work collectively. The board also started paying salary according to their

Present: Sandeep Mishra
In the court of District and Additional Sessions Judge-I, Katihar
Bail Application 392 of 2026
(Arising out of Manihari P.S. case No. 14 of 2026)
Md. Fantu alias Aryan Singh versus State

<u>continued</u> 20.04.2026	performance and there were rules and regulation of the board and that employees can only continue their job on the basis of their performance. The victim-cum-informant of the case has been continuing her job and also taken the salary Rs. 5000/- by different mode and she has been continuing the same. However, the act of the victim was not satisfactory and the petitioner being the director, directed the victim to behave properly as an employee. However in the meantime, she came in connection with other company due to rivalry at the same, she has filed this false application before the police. When the police enquired the matter and called the petitioner, being the law abiding citizen, the petitioner appeared before the police and on 14.01.2026 after knowing the same, the victim felt guilt and another supplementary application was filed to withdraw this case and in the supplementary application, the informant of this case has stated that she felt guilt so she want to withdraw this case. Some other person along with media personnel started demanding Rs. 2,50,000/- and account of the non-fulfilment of the same, they started giving threatening that news will be viralled and then the petitioner disclosed that he is a poor person and anyhow he has been carrying this institution. This institute is at preliminary stage and he is not able to fulfill their demand. After non-fulfilment of the demand, all those persons gave a false application subsequently resulting in this case. The petitioner did nothing wrong and he is innocent. This case has been lodged only for the	
--------------------------------	---	--

Present: Sandeep Mishra
In the court of District and Additional Sessions Judge-I, Katihar
Bail Application 392 of 2026
(Arising out of Manihari P.S. case No. 14 of 2026)
Md. Fantu alias Aryan Singh versus State

continued
20.04.2026

purpose of extortion. On the aforesaid grounds, prayer has been made to enlarge the petitioner on bail.

4. On the other hand, the learned APP has opposed the prayer of the petitioner for grant of regular bail and submitted that there are allegations against the petitioner that he tried to sexually assault the informant and other girls. Further, the petitioner is also involved in selling the girls for immoral purpose. After investigation, chargesheet has been submitted against the petitioner. Therefore, the petitioner is not entitled to be enlarged on regular bail.

5. Heard the parties and perused the record. Chargesheet has already been submitted in this case against this accused petitioner. The record of this case being Manihari PS case no. 14 of 2026 has also been recieved from the learned court concerned. So far as the criminal antecedent of the petitioner is concerned, it is mentioned in paragraph no. 43 of the case diary that the petitioner is also an accused in (1) Mansahi PS case no. 25 of 2021 under sections 147, 341, 323, 326, 354(b), 354, 379, 452, 448, 334 of the Indian Penal Code, (2) Mansahi PS case no. 79 of 2024 registered under sections 127(1), 115(2), 118(1), 74(3)(5) of Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita and also (3) Mansahi PS case no. 157 of 2025 under sections 126(2), 115(2), 118(1), 76, 303(2), 352(2)(3) and 3(5) of Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita.

Present: Sandeep Mishra
In the court of District and Additional Sessions Judge-I, Katihar
Bail Application 392 of 2026
(Arising out of Manihari P.S. case No. 14 of 2026)
Md. Fantu alias Aryan Singh versus State

continued
20.04.2026

6. Allegations against the petitioner are that he tried to sexually assault the victim-cum-informant and other girls. From the perusal of the case diary, it transpires that the informant in her restatement as well as during investigation has supported the allegations against the petitioner and the witnesses including some of the girls of the said GAP Institute have also supported the accusations against the accused petitioner. Further, the accused petitioner has got criminal antecedent in the cases of same type of offence.

7. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case including the fact that the investigation of the case was going on, the prayer for grant of bail of the accused petitioner was rejected by the order dated 23.02.2026 passed in BP no. 129 of 2026 at that stage. However, it was observed in the aforesaid order that if so advised, the petitioner may renew his prayer for grant of regular bail if chargesheet is submitted against him. From the perusal of the record, it transpires that the accused petitioner has moved to the Hon'ble Court for grant of regular bail in Criminal Miscellaneous no. 19284 of 2026 and by the order dated 31.03.2026 passed in the aforesaid Criminal Miscellaneous no. 19284 of 2026, the Hon'ble has been pleased to dispose of the aforesaid Criminal Miscellaneous no. 19284 of 2026 without passing any order on the merits of the case with the liberty to the petitioner to avail the

Present: Sandeep Mishra
In the court of District and Additional Sessions Judge-I, Katihar
Bail Application 392 of 2026
(Arising out of Manihari P.S. case No. 14 of 2026)
Md. Fantu alias Aryan Singh versus State

<u>continued</u> 20.04.2026	opportunity which has been given to the petitioner by this court. Now, the chargesheet is submitted against the petitioner and the petitioner is in custody since 28.01.2026. 8. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case including the facts that chargesheet has already been submitted against the petitioner and the petitioner is in custody since 28.01.2026, this court is inclined to enlarge the petitioner on regular bail. 9. Accordingly, prayer of the petitioner namely Md. Fantu alias Aryan Singh for grant of regular bail in connection with Manihari PS case no. 14 of 2026 is hereby allowed. The petitioner namely Md. Fantu alias Aryan Singh is hereby directed to be enlarged on regular bail in connection with Manihari PS case no. 14 of 2026 on his furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 10,000/- with two sureties of the like amount each subject to the satisfaction of the learned court concerned where this case being Manihari PS case no. 14 of 2026 is pending and further subject to the following conditions:- (i) One of the sureties of the petitioners will be his close relative. (ii) The petitioner will furnish an undertaking that he will maintain distance from the informant-cum-victim and he will not harass the victim in any way. (iii) The petitioner will furnish an undertaking that he will not involved in any other offence after his release	
--------------------------------	---	--

Present: Sandeep Mishra
In the court of District and Additional Sessions Judge-I, Katihar
Bail Application 392 of 2026
(Arising out of Manihari P.S. case No. 14 of 2026)
Md. Fantu alias Aryan Singh versus State

continued
20.04.2026

from this case.

(iv) The petitioner will also furnish an undertaking that he will co-operate in the trial of this case and he will remain present physically on each and every date till the examination of the victim during trial.

In case of violation of any of the conditions as mentioned in conditions no. (ii), (iii) and (iv), the bail- bonds of the petitioner will be cancelled and he will be taken into custody.

Sd/-

(Sandeep Mishra)

District and Additional Sessions Judge-I

Katihar

20.04.2026

Present: Sandeep Mishra
In the court of District and Additional Sessions Judge-I, Katihar
Bail Application 392 of 2026
(Arising out of Manihari P.S. case No. 14 of 2026)
Md. Fantu alias Aryan Singh versus State

--	--	--