



IN THE COURT OF DISTRICT & ADDL. SESSIONS JUDGE-III, KATIHAR
BAIL PETITION NO. 378/2026
(Arising out of Pranpur P.S. Case No. 173/2024)

Sakil Ahmed @ Tinka

... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

... Opposite Party

Ld. Counsel for the Petitioner:-

Sri Shrikant Mandal, Advocate

Ld. Counsel for the State :-

Sri Panchanand Singh, APP

Date of hearing/Disposal: 26.05.2026

ORDER

A regular bail petition has been filed on behalf of the accused petitioner namely Sakil Ahmed @ Tinka, who is in jail custody since 09.03.2026 in connection with Pranpur P.S. Case No. 173/2024 for the offence registered U/S 309(4) of the B.N.S. The copy of the same has already been duly served to the Ld. Addl. P.P. for the State.

Heard the Ld. counsel for the petitioner and the learned A.P.P. for the State.

The Ld. counsel of the petitioner has submitted that an ABP No. 1209/2025 was filed before the D.J., Katihar which was rejected and thereafter, no Cri. Misc. or regular bail petition has been filed. The petitioner is quite innocent and has committed no offence and he has been falsely implicated in this case That the name of the petitioner has been disclosed by one of co-accused Rohit Kumar, Aniket Kumar in case diary. That the petitioner has two criminal antecedents i.e. (i) Pranpur P.S. Case No. 202/2023 and (ii) Pranpur P.S. Case No. 367/2020. That nothing has been recovered from the possession of the petitioner. That there is no evidence against the petitioner in case diary. That charge-sheet has already been submitted and cognizance has been taken against the petitioner. Petitioner is local man and no chance of his absconding. The petitioner is ready to furnish local and solvent bailors to the satisfaction of the court. Accordingly, prayed to grant bail.

On the other hand, the Ld. Addl. P.P. vehemently opposed the prayer of bail filed on behalf of the above named accused petitioner and submitted that the petitioner has committed the alleged offence under well planned manner. Hence, it is requested to reject the petition of the above named accused petitioner.

The case of the prosecution, in brief, is that on 28.10.2024, informant Dharmendar Mishra was coming from Bastol Bazar on his motorcycle after collecting the money. When he reached at NH 81, three persons stopped him from behind. They took his motorcycle's key, his collection money of Rs. 35000/- and a mobile phone on the point of gun. Hence, the present case.

*P.O.- Sunil Kumar Singh-III, DASJ-II
B.P. No. 378/2026
Pranpur P.S. Case No. 173/2024
Sakil Ahmed @ Tinka Vs. State of Bihar*

Heard rival submission of the Ld. counsel on behalf of the accused petitioner and the Ld. Add.P.P. for the State and perused the L.C.R. From perusal of the same, it transpires that the case has been registered for the offence punishable U/S 309(4) of the B.N.S. against the petitioner. Petitioner is not named in the F.I.R. and name of petitioner has transpired in this case during confessional statement of co-accused. However, nothing incriminating has been recovered from the possession of petitioner. Petitioner is in custody since 09.03.2026. Co-accused Aniket Kumar has been granted regular bail in B.P. No. 122/2025 vide order dated 19.03.2025 by this court and other co-accused has also been granted bail by Hon'ble High Court, Patna. Furthermore, upon completion of investigation, charge-sheet has been submitted against the petitioner. There is no chance of absconding or tampering with the evidence and he is ready to co-operate during trial.

Hence, considering the above facts and circumstances as well as the period of custody, I find it judicious to enlarge the above named accused petitioner on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of the Ld. Court below.