

IN THE COURT OF DISTRICT & ADDL. SESSIONS JUDGE-III, KATIHAR
ANTICIPATORY BAIL PETITION No. 525/2026
(Arising out of Nagar Katihar(S) P.S. Case No. 179/2026)

Sonu Choudhary @ Ravi Prakash Choudhary ... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar ... Opposite Party

Ld. Counsel for the Petitioner:- Sri Akshay Kr. Singh, Advocate
Ld. Counsel for the State :- Sri Panchanand Singh, APP

Date of hearing/Disposal: 08.06.2026

ORDER

An anticipatory bail petition has been filed on behalf of the accused petitioner namely Sonu Choudhary @ Ravi Prakash Choudhary, S/O Dinesh Kant Choudhary, who is apprehending his arrest in connection with Nagar Katihar (S) P.S. Case No. 179/2026 for the offences registered U/S 126(2), 127, 119, 308, 115(2), 118(2), 308(3), 122, 303(2), 109, 351(2), 3(5) of BNS. The copy of the same has already been duly served to the Ld. Addl. P.P. for the State.

Heard the Ld. counsel for the petitioner and the learned A.P.P. for the State.

The Ld. counsel of the petitioner has submitted that an ABP No. 396/2026 filed on behalf of this petitioner which was withdrawn and thereafter, no B.P. or A.B.P. on behalf of the accused petitioner has earlier been filed or moved before this court or before the Hon'ble High Court, Patna. The petitioner is innocent and he has not committed any offence at all and have been falsely implicated in this case. There is no specific allegation against the petitioner and the petitioner has no role in the said occurrence. That going through the statement of witness, it will appear that none has stated the name of this petitioner. Petitioner is ready and willing to abide by the terms and conditions laid down by this court. Petitioner is ready to furnish local and solvent bailors to the court satisfaction. Accordingly, prayed to grant bail.

On the other hand, the Ld. Addl. P.P. vehemently opposed the prayer of anticipatory bail filed on behalf of the above named accused petitioner and submitted that the petitioner has committed the alleged offence under well planned manner. Hence, it is requested to reject the petition of the above named accused petitioner.

The case of the prosecution, in brief, is that on 09.02.2026 at the afternoon, informant along with land owner Dulal Kant Nandi went to Circle Office, Hasanganj and when they were returning, accused Ravi Jha and others assaulted the informant and land owner Dulal Kant Nandi while pointing pistol. Accused persons assaulted the informant and land owner with lathi and danda on the point of knife and pistol and took their signatures on four blank papers and also on a stamp paper. The accused persons want to illegally grab the land and threatened to kill the informant and the land owner if they will

go to the police station and lodge an F.I.R. Accused persons also took away Rs. 25,000/- from the pocket of the informant during course of assault. Hence, the present case.

Heard the Ld. Counsel for both the parties and perused the case record. After perusing the same, it transpires that the present case is registered under Section 126(2), 127, 119, 308, 115(2), 118(2), 308(3), 122, 303(2), 109, 351(2), 3(5) of BNS. Petitioner is not named accused of the FIR and his name transpired in course of investigation on the basis of CDR location. From perusal of CDR of accused persons, it transpires that they were in regular touch with each other on the alleged date and time of occurrence and so his involvement at the stage of investigation cannot be ruled out. There is sufficient material in the case diary that the petitioners have actively participated in the alleged threatening and assault. The allegation against the petitioner is serious in nature and investigation against the petitioner is still pending.

Hence, considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances and discussions made hereinabove, I am not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on anticipatory bail. Accordingly, prayer for anticipatory bail of the above named accused petitioner stand **rejected**.

(Sd/-)

(Sunil Kumar Singh-III)

D.A.S.J.-III, Katihar
08.06.2026