

Kursela P.S. case no. 07/2026
U/S 334(1), 303(2) of the B.N.S.
Accused Name- Ritesh Kumar @ Revti Yadav
P.O.-Asutosh Rai. A.S.J.-II, Katihar
A.B.P. NO. 492/2026

1

IN THE COURT OF ADDITIONAL DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE-II

DISTRICT-Katihar
(A.B.P. No. 492/2026)

In the matter of

**1.Ritesh Kumar @ Revti Yadav aged about 29 years S/o Late Umesh Yadav
R/o- Vill- Bhawanipur, P.S. Rangra, District- Bhagalpur**

.....**Accused/petitioner.**

Vs

State of Bihar.....Opposite Party.

Kursela P.S. case no. 07/2026

U/S- 334(1), 303(2) of the B.N.S.

Ld, advocate for petitioner- Shri Mahanand Yadav

Ld, A.P.P. for the State- Shri Md. Azaj Alam

ORDER

14.05.2026- This anticipatory bail petition has been filed on the behalf of accused-petitioner namely 1. Ritesh Kumar @ Revti Yadav who is apprehending his arrest in connection with aforesaid case. ■

Heard the ld. counsel Shri Mahanand Yadav appearing on the behalf of the petitioner and Ld. A.P.P. Shri Md. Azaj Alam on the behalf of the state.

According to F.I.R. prosecution case in nutshell is that informant to this case namely Kailash Bhagat lodged a written report before S.H.O. Katihar alleging therein that his godown namely Maa Bhawani traders is situated near the Hawaii Adda ward number 12 Kursela. Informant has further alleged that on dated 06.01.2026 last night at about 2:10 p.m. some miscreants come with pick-up van and having broken the lock of godown they got pick-up van enter in the godown and they looted near about 200 bags of maize and fled away. Informant has further alleged that he woke up at 9:30 in morning and went to godown then he saw that lock of godown is in broken condition and near about 200 bag of maize are missing. After that he checked CCTV camera then he saw some unknown person having broke the lock of godown and fled with maize worth about 300000 rupees.

It would be pertinent to mention here that name of present petitioner has been surfaced in this case on the basis of confessional statements made by co-accused persons.

On the other side learned counsel for the petitioner has reiterated all the grounds taken in the bail petition and has submitted that the petitioner is quite innocent and he has committed no offence and he has falsely been implicated in this case. He has further submitted that petitioner is not named in the F.I.R. and nothing have been recovered from the possession of petitioner and during the investigation, petitioner's name has been transpired from the confessional statement of accused Ranjan Kumar Sharma and Sanjeev Kumar. He has further submitted that in C.C.T.V. footage, number of pick-up is not clearly visible due to

fog. He has further submitted that accused Dharamveer Yadv has already been granted bail by Principal District and Sessions Judge, Katihar vide B.P. no. 232/2026 and accused Ranjan Kumar Sharma and Sanjeev Kumar have also been granted bail vide B.P. no. 247/2026. He has further submitted that the confessional statement before police has no evidentiary value in the eye of law. Accused-petitioner has got no other criminal antecedent. Accordingly, it has been prayed to allow the said anticipatory bail petition.

Per contra, learned A.P.P. has vehemently opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail.

Heard the both parties and perused the case record from perusal of the case record it is evident that accused petitioner is not a named of FIR but his name has been surfaced in this case on the basis of confessional statement made by co-accused namely Ranjan Kumar Sharma and Sanjeev Kumar. But except to confessional statement made by co-accused persons there is nothing on record to show the complicity of present petitioner in this alleged occurrence and as per bail petition petitioner has got no bad antecedent.

So having consideration the above facts and submission made by the Ld. Counsel for the petitioner, the instant anticipatory bail petition is hereby allowed and accordingly it is ordered to release the petitioner namely Ritesh Kumar @ Revti Yadav on bail in the case of surrender/arrest within three weeks of this order on furnishing of bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court concerned subject to the condition as mentioned in the section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S.. with additional condition that accused-petitioner will file an undertaking that he will remain physically present before the concerned court on each and every date till framing of charge.

**Addl. Sessions. Judge-II
Katihar**

Date of Order	14.05.2026
Date of Reserving Order	N/A
Uploading Date	27.05.2026
Uploaded By	Prakash Kumar Singh, Steno D.A.S.J.-II, Katihar