

**IN THE COURT OF DISTRICT & ADDL. SESSIONS JUDGE-III, KATIHAR**  
**ANTICIPATORY BAIL PETITION No. 415/2026**  
**(Arising out of Barsoi P.S. Case No. 88/2024)**

Noor Mohammad

... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

... Opposite Party

**Ld. Counsel for the petitioner:-**  
**Ld. Counsel for the State :-**

**Sri Kailash Kumar, Advocate**  
**Sri Panchanand Singh, APP**

**Date of hearing/Disposal: 23.05.2026**

**ORDER**

An anticipatory bail petition has been filed on behalf of the accused petitioner namely (1) Noor Mohammad, who is apprehending his arrest in connection with Barsoi P.S. Case No. 88/2024 for the offences registered U/S 147, 149, 341, 323, 324, 498(A), 504 of IPC and Section 3/4 of D.P. Act. The copy of the same has already been duly served to the Ld. Addl. P.P. for the State.

Heard the Ld. counsel for the petitioner and the learned A.P.P. for the State.

The Ld. counsel of the petitioner have submitted that no B.P. has been filed earlier whenever an A.B.P. No. 589/2024 has been filed earlier but the same has been withdrawn. The petitioner is innocent and he has not committed any offence at all and have been falsely implicated in this case. That the petitioner gave Talaque to the informant of the case on 20.10.2023. That the informant of this case mentally and physically tortured this petitioner and his old widow mother. For that Barsoi P.S. Case No. 88/2024 is the counter blast of Barsoi P.S. Case No. 210/2023. That the petitioner appeared in the Court of Principal Judge Family Court, Katihar in connection with Maintenance Case No. 57/2024. That the O.P. No. 2 captured the house of the petitioner. That the petitioner have no any criminal antecedent. Petitioner is ready to furnish local and solvent bailors to the court satisfaction. Accordingly, prayed to grant bail.

On the other hand, the Ld. Addl. P.P. vehemently opposed the prayer of anticipatory bail filed on behalf of the above named accused petitioner and submitted that the petitioner have committed the alleged offence under well planned manner. Hence, it is requested to reject the petition of the above named accused petitioner.

The case of the prosecution story as per fardebayan, is that the informant alleged that after the victim's marriage in 2019, her husband and in-laws started demanding dowry and torturing her. On 23.04.2024, the victim was allegedly assaulted and killed by her husband and family members, who also tried to dispose of the body to destroy evidence. Hence, the present case.

Heard rival submission of the Ld. counsel on behalf of the accused petitioner and the Ld. Add.P.P. for the State and perused the LCR. From perusal of the same, it transpires that the case has been registered U/S 147, 149, 341, 323, 324, 498(A), 504 of IPC and Section 3/4 of D.P. Act. The petitioner is the named accused of the FIR. The petitioner is the husband and he was duty bound to keep her wife with full honor and dignity, but there is allegations that he along with other co-accused assaulted the victim and tried to kill her. The injuries appear to have been caused by hard and blunt objects, while one injury was caused by a sharp cutting object. The offence alleged against the accused is serious in nature.

Hence, considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances and discussions made hereinabove, I am not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on anticipatory bail. Accordingly, prayer for anticipatory bail of the above named accused petitioner stands **rejected**.

(Sd/-)

(Sunil Kumar Singh-III)  
D.A.S.J.-III, Katihar  
23.05.2026