

IN THE COURT OF DISTRICT & ADDL. SESSIONS JUDGE-III, KATIHAR
ANTICIPATORY BAIL PETITION NO. 483/2026
(Arising out of Muffassil P.S. Case No. 230/2024)

Rangila Devi & Others

... Petitioners

Versus

The State of Bihar

... Opposite Party

Ld. Counsel for the petitioners:-
Ld. Counsel for the State :-

Sri Md. Aftab Alam, Advocate
Sri Akhilesh Singh, APP

Date of hearing/Disposal: 01.06.2026

ORDER

An anticipatory bail petition has been filed on behalf of the accused petitioners namely (1) Rangila Devi, (2) Guddu Parihar and (3) Joshna Kumari, who are apprehending their arrests in connection with Muffassil P.S. Case No. 230/2024 for the offence registered U/S 115(2), 126(2), 118(1), 109, 351(2), 352 of the B.N.S. The copy of the same has already been duly served to the Ld. Addl. P.P. for the State.

Heard the Ld. counsel for the petitioners and the learned A.P.P. for the State.

The Ld. counsel of the petitioners have submitted that no B.P. or A.B.P. on behalf of the accused petitioners have been filed or moved earlier before this court or before the Hon'ble High Court, Patna. The petitioners are quite innocent and have committed no offence and they have been falsely implicated in this case. That the petitioners have no any criminal history. That there is no specific allegation of theft. The petitioners are local man and woman and no chance of their absconding. The petitioners are ready to furnish local and solvent bailors to the satisfaction of the court. Accordingly, prayed to grant bail.

On the other hand, the Ld. Addl. P.P. vehemently opposed the prayer of A.B.P. filed on behalf of the above named accused petitioners and submitted that the petitioners have committed the alleged offence under well planned manner. Hence, it is requested to reject the petition of the above named accused petitioners.

The case of the prosecution, in brief, is that on 29.09.2024 at about 08:00 PM, accused entered into the house of the informant and started abusing filthy language. They were armed with rod, stick and other weapons. The accused persons dragged them and attacked them with fists and blows. They also threatened them with dire consequences and warned that they would kill them if they will report the matter. Hence, the present case.

Heard rival submission of the Ld. counsel on behalf of the accused petitioners and the Ld. Addl. P.P. for the State and perused the C.D. From perusal of the same, it transpires that the case has been registered for the offence punishable

U/S 126(2), 115(2), 118(1), 109, 352, 351(2), 303(2), 3(5) of BNS against the accused person. Petitioners are not named accused in the F.I.R. and the allegation of assault with sharp-edged weapon Hasuwa has been specifically levelled against co-accused Kaila Parihar. The accusations against the petitioners are general and omnibus in nature. That there is no allegation that any of these petitioners were armed with Hasuwa or inflicted the injury which caused bleeding to the informant. That there is no any criminal history against these petitioners. Out of three accused, two accused are female. They are ready to co-operate during investigation and trial in this case and there is no chance of absconding or tampering with the evidence.

Hence, considering the above facts and circumstances of the case, the present anticipatory bail petition of the above named accused petitioners are hereby **allowed** and the petitioners in the event of their arrests or surrender **within four (4) weeks of this order**, shall be admitted to bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the court.

(Sd/-)

(Sunil Kumar Singh-III)
D.A.S.J.- III, Katihar
01.06.2026