

IN THE COURT OF ADDITIONAL DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE-II
DISTRICT-Katihar
(A.B.P. No. 441/2026)

In the matter of

1. Michael Marandi aged about 31 years, S/o Manjhla Marandi.

Above R/o- Khaira, P.S.- Mufasil, Distt.- Katihar.

.....Accused/petitioner.

Vs

State of Bihar.....Opposite Party.

C.A. Case No. 2063/2014

U/S- 498 (A) of I.P.C.

Ld. Advocate for petitioner- Shri Amit Kumar Singh

Ld. A.P.P. for the State- Shri Md. Azaj Alam

ORDER

30.04.2026- This anticipatory bail petition has been filed on behalf of accused-petitioner namely Michael Marandi who is apprehending his arrest in connection with aforesaid case.

Heard the Ld. counsel Shri Amit Kumar Singh appearing on behalf of the petitioner and Ld. A.P.P. Shri Md. Azaj Alam on behalf of the state.

According to complaint petition, case of Complainant namely Anita Murmu is that her marriage was solemnised in the year 2014 with accused-petitioner namely Michael Marandi as per Hindu rites and rituals and in the said marriage, relatives of the Complainant gave ornaments, clothes, utensils, etc. as gift. After the said marriage, informant went to her Sasural and lived happily. But later on, accused persons named in the complaint petition started demanding one motor cycle and Rs. 10,000/- in dowry and when the said demand was not fulfilled they subjected to the Complainant for physical and mental torture. Further, on dated 30.07.2014, all accused persons brutally assaulted to the Complainant and got her ousted from matrimonial house. Complainant has further alleged that her husband has illicit relation with another girl.

On the other side learned counsel for the petitioner has reiterated all the grounds taken in the bail petition and has submitted that, no such occurrence has happened and the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case due to village politics. The petitioner and the Complainant never married and all the allegations are false and concocted. The allegations made on the petitioner are false and concocted and no charge U/s 498 (A) is made against the petitioner. The petitioner never demanded any dowry from the Complainant. No inquiry witness has supported the Complainant's case and the cognizance is taken only on the basis of S.A. of the Complainant. Accordingly, it has been prayed to allow the anticipatory bail petition.

Per contra, Learned A.P.P. has vehemently opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail.

Heard both the parties and perused the case record. From perusal of the case record it is evident that, it is evident that only general allegation of dowry demand

C.A. Case No. 2063/2014
U/S 498 (A) of I.P.C.
Accused Name- Michael Marandi
P.O.-Asutosh Rai, A.S.J.-II, Katihar
A.B.P. NO. 441/2026

2

and for committing physical and mental torture have been levelled against the accused-petitioner but there is no medical prescription on record relating to physical assault of the Complainant. Moreover, it prima facie appears that due to strained relation between the accused-petitioner and Complainant, this case has been brought into existence.

So having considered the above facts and submissions made by the Ld. Counsel for the petitioner, the instant anticipatory bail petition is hereby allowed and accordingly it is ordered to release the petitioner namely **Michael Marandi** on bail in the case of surrender/arrest within three weeks of this order on furnishing of bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court concerned subject to the condition as mentioned in the section 438 (2) of Cr.P.C.

**Addl. Sessions Judge-II
Katihar**

Memo No..... Dated.....

Copy forwarded to the concerned court, Katihar.

**Addl. Sessions Judge-II
Katihar**