

**IN THE COURT OF DISTRICT & ADDL. SESSIONS JUDGE-III, KATIHAR**  
**ANTICIPATORY BAIL PETITION NO. 417/2026**  
**(Arising out of Manihari P.S. Case No. 217/2021)**

Md. Kausar Alam

... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

... Opposite Party

**Ld. Counsel for the petitioner:-**  
**Ld. Counsel for the State :-**

**Sri Alok Ranjan, Advocate**  
**Sri Akhilesh Singh, APP**

**Date of hearing/Disposal: 17.06.2026**

**ORDER**

An anticipatory bail petition has been filed on behalf of the accused petitioner namely (1) Md. Kausar Alam, who is apprehending his arrest in connection with Manihari P.S. Case No. 217/2021 for the offence registered U/S 341, 323, 498(A), 494, 379/34 of IPC & Section 3/4 D.P. Act. The copy of the same has already been duly served to the Ld. Addl. P.P. for the State.

Heard the Ld. counsel for the petitioner and the learned A.P.P. for the State.

The Ld. counsel of the petitioner has submitted that no B.P. or A.B.P. on behalf of the accused petitioner has been filed or moved earlier before this court or before the Hon'ble High Court, Patna. The petitioner is quite innocent and have committed no offence and he has been falsely implicated in this case. That the petitioner has two criminal history. That there is no specific demand of dowry and torture against the petitioner. That the petitioner is always ready to keep the informant/wife with full dignity and honour. The petitioner is local man and no chance of his absconding. The petitioner is ready to furnish local and solvent bailors to the satisfaction of the court. Accordingly, prayed to grant bail.

On the other hand, the Ld. Addl. P.P. vehemently opposed the prayer of A.B.P. filed on behalf of the above named accused petitioner and submitted that the petitioner have committed the alleged offence under well planned manner. Hence, it is requested to reject the petition of the above named accused petitioner.

The case of the prosecution, in brief, is that the complainant Julie Khatoon was married to accused Md. Kausar Alam on 16.10.2019, according to Muslim rites and customs. After the marriage, the accused allegedly started demanding Rs. 2 lakhs and a motorcycle as dowry. Upon failure to fulfill the demand, the accused persons allegedly assaulted the complainant, drove her out of the matrimonial home and took away her clothes and a gold nose ornament. The complainant has a one year old son and is presently residing at her parental home. Hence, the present case.

Heard rival submission of the Ld. counsel on behalf of the accused petitioner and the Ld. Add.P.P. for the State and perused the LCR. From perusal of the same, it transpires that the present Manihari P.S. Case No. 217/2021 has been registered U/S 341, 323, 498(A), 494, 379/34 of IPC & Section 3/4 D.P. Act against the accused person. Ptitioner is the named accused in the F.I.R. and charge-sheet has been submitted against the petitioner. The petitioner happens to be the husband of the informant. There is no specific allegation of physical assault or demand of dowry against the petitioner. Any custodial interrogation is not required and even after notice, informant did not turn up. He is ready to co-operate during trial in this case and there is no chance of absconding or tampering with the evidence.

Hence, considering the above facts and circumstances of the case, the present anticipatory bail petition of the above named accused petitioner is hereby **allowed** and the petitioner in the event of his arrest or surrender **within four (4) weeks of this order**, shall be admitted to bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the court.

(Sd/-)

(Sunil Kumar Singh-III)  
D.A.S.J.- III, Katihar  
17.06.2026