

In the Court of District & Additional Sessions Judge-V

B.P. No.304 of 2026

Katihar Sahayak PS Case no. 227/2026

PO- Dwijendra Kumar

**Ritik Kumar, aged about 22 yrs, S/O- Sanoj Kumar Mahto
R/O- Hridayganj, PS- Katihar (Sahayak), District- Katihar**

.....Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

.....Opposite Party

Ld. Counsel for the Petitioner:- Sri Ashok Kumar Thakur

Ld. Counsel for the State:- Sri Yugal Kishore Yadav, APP

Date of hearing/Disposal: 17.04.2026

Order

1. This regular bail petition has been filed u/s 483 of BNSS on behalf petitioner namely **Ritik Kumar** for grant of Regular Bail in connection with Katihar (Sahayak) P.S. case No. 227/2026 u/s 316(3), 318(4), 308(5) and 3(5) of BNS. Accused/petitioner is in judicial custody since 24.02.2026.

2. The Ld. Counsel for the petitioner has also filed his bail petition stating there in that petitioner is quite innocent having no criminal antecedants and has falsely been implicated in this case. It was further submitted that it is informant who produced the said vehicle to the police and in this context it is argued that no incriminating article has been recovered from the possession of the petitioner. This petitioner is languishing in custody since 24.02.2026 and Accordingly prayed for grant of bail.

3. Ld. APP while opposing the bail petition submitted that there is allegation against petitioner to take the informant's vehicle from his house by claiming to be an employee of the finance company, on the grounds that the installments were not fully paid, but then not depositing the vehicle with the company and therefore he does not deserve to be released on bail.

In the Court of District & Additional Sessions Judge-V
B.P. No.304 of 2026
Katihar Sahayak PS Case no. 227/2026
PO- Dwijendra Kumar

4. Heard and perused the case record from perusal it is evident that this case has been lodged U/sec 316(3), 318(4), 308(5) and 3(5) of BNS. Petitioner is in judicial custody since 24.02.2026. Petitioner is not named accused in FIR. Moreover this is a case of production cum seizure list, and also there is lack of proper investigation. Therefore considering the above facts and circumstances, and period of custody, let this Petitioner be **enlarged on bail** on his furnishing bail bonds of **Rs. 10,000/- with two sureties** like amount each to the satisfaction of the Court concerned.

sd/-
Dwijendra Kumar
District & Addl. Sessions Judge-V,
Katihar