

IN THE COURT OF DISTRICT & ADDL. SESSIONS JUDGE-III, KATIHAR
ANTICIPATORY BAIL PETITION No. 401/2026
(Arising out of Muffassil P.S. Case No. 270/2025)

Paidal Chouhan @ Ganesh Kumar ... Petitioner
Versus
The State of Bihar ... Opposite Party

Ld. Counsel for the Petitioner:- Sri Ram Narayan Chouhan, Advocate
Ld. Counsel for the State :- Sri Akhilesh Singh, APP

Date of hearing/Disposal: 06.05.2026

ORDER

An anticipatory bail petition has been filed on behalf of the accused petitioner namely Paidal Chouhan @ Ganesh Kumar who is apprehending his arrest in connection with Muffassil P.S. Case No. 270/2025 for the offences U/S 305, 3(5) of BNS. The copy of the same has been duly served to the Ld. Addl. P.P. for the State.

Heard the Ld. counsel for the petitioners and the learned A.P.P. for the State.

The Ld. counsel of the petitioners has submitted that no B.P. or A.B.P. on behalf of the accused petitioner has been filed or moved before this court or before the Hon'ble High Court, Patna. The petitioner is quite innocent and has not committed any offence at all and he has been falsely implicated in this case. There is no specific allegation against the petitioner. Petitioner has no criminal antecedent except the present case. There is a land dispute between the petitioner and the informant and the parties claim and counter claim by lodging the FIR. Petitioner is ready to abide by the terms and conditions imposed by this court. Petitioner is ready to furnish local and solvent bailors to the satisfaction of the court and there is no chance of absconding. Accordingly, prayed to grant anticipatory bail.

On the other hand, the Ld. Addl. P.P. opposed the prayer of A.B.P. filed on behalf of the above named accused petitioner and submitted that the petitioner has committed the alleged offence under well planned manner. Hence, it is requested to reject the petition of the above named accused petitioner.

The case of the prosecution, in brief, is that the informant alleged that on receiving telephonic information regarding theft in his factory on 12.11.2025, the informant reached there and found that several machines, motors, CCTV cameras, DVR and other articles had been stolen. On the information of witnesses, it was alleged that the accused persons committed the theft in conspiracy and fled away with the stolen articles on a handcart. Due to

the funeral rites of his father, the informant lodged the written report on 17.11.2025. Hence, the present case

Heard the Ld. Counsel of both the parties and perused the case diary. From the perusal of case diary, it transpires that the present Muffassil P.S. Case No. 270/2025 has been registered U/S 305, 3(5) of BNS. The petitioner is the named accused of the FIR and there is specific allegation against the petitioner that he committed theft in conspiracy. The petitioner is prime suspect and case diary shows that he is not co-operating in investigation and absconding. Though the petitioner has got clean antecedent, but allegations are serious in nature and investigation of this case is still pending.

Hence, considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances and discussions made hereinabove, I am not inclined to enlarge the petitioners on anticipatory bail. Accordingly, prayer for anticipatory bail of the above named accused petitioners stands **rejected**.

(Sunil Kumar Singh-III)
D.A.S.J.-III, Katihar
06.05.2026