

IN THE COURT OF ADDITIONAL DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE-II
DISTRICT-KATIHAR
(A.B.P. No. 378/2026)

In the matter of
Shyam Mandal aged about 40 years s/o Ishwar Mandal

.....**Accused/petitioner.**

Vs

State of Bihar.....Opposite Party.

C.A. P.S. Case No. 1748/2022

U/S- 498A of the I.P.C. & 4 D.P. Act.

Ld, advocate for petitioner- Shri Ramakant Mandal

Ld, A.P.P. for the State- Shri Md. Azaj Alam

ORDER

13.05.2026- This anticipatory bail petition has been filed on the behalf of accused-petitioner namely Shyam Mandal, who is apprehending his arrest in connection with aforesaid case.

Heard the ld. counsel Shri Ramakant Mandal appearing on the behalf of the petitioner and Ld. A.P.P. Shri Md. Azaj Alam on the behalf of the state.

As per complaint petition case of complainant namely Renu Devi in brief is that her marriage was solomonized on 02.02.23 with accused petitioner namely Shyam Mandal as per Hindu rites and rituals and at the time of said marriage complainant's father gave Rs. 51,000/- in cash and ornaments, furniture etc. In the gift and after the said marriage complainant went to her in-laws house and she was blessed with one female child. Later on accused-petitioner started demanding Rs. Two lakhs in the name of business and pressurized to the complainant to demand said amount from her parent. It is further alleged that due to non-fulfillment of said demand accused-petitioner used to commit assault to the complainant. Further, in the month of September 2020 due to non-fulfillment of dowry demand accused-petitioner assaulted to the complainant and after snatching all her ornaments got her ousted from matrimonial house and later on he told that until the said demand is fulfilled he will not permit to the complainant to live in matrimonial house.

On the other side learned counsel for the petitioner has reiterated all the grounds taken in the bail petition and has submitted that the petitioner is quite innocent and has committed no offence at all and the petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case due to some ulterior motive. He has further submitted that the complainant of her own will left matrimonial home and is not ready to lead conjugal life with the petitioner. He has further submitted that petitioner is ready to keep the complainant with all

dignity and prestige and accused-petitioner has got no bad criminal antecedent. Accordingly, it has been prayed to allow the anticipatory bail petition.

Per contra, learned A.P.P. assisted by Ld. Counsel for the complainant has vehemently opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail.

Heard the both parties and perused the record from perusal of the case record it is evident that complainant has stated that due to non-fulfillment of dowry demand accused-petitioner committed assaulting to her and got her ousted from matrimonial house. But there is no cheat of paper regarding physical assault. Furthermore, harmonious relation has already been restored between the both parties and joint compromise petitionn is also on the record.

So having consideration the above facts and submission made by the Ld. Counsel for the petitioner, the instant anticipatory bail petition is hereby allowed and accordingly it is ordered to release the petitioner namely Shyam Mandal on bail in the case of surrender/arrest within three weeks of this order on furnishing of bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court concerned subject to the condition as mentioned in the section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C..

**Addl. Sessions. Judge-II
Katihar**